BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE: REGULAR MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING) 3)))
DATE AND TIME:	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1997 9:30 A.M.
PLACE:	BOARD HEARING ROOM 8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
REPORTER:	BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 41673

APPEARANCES

- MR. DANIEL G. PENNINGTON, CHAIRMAN
- MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, VICE CHAIRMAN (NOT PRESENT)
- MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, MEMBER
- MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER (NOT PRESENT)
- MR. STEVEN R. JONES, MEMBER
- MR. PAUL RELIS, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

- MR. RALPH CHANDLER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
 MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MS. KATHRYN
 TOBIAS, CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL MS. MARLENE KELLY, BOARD
 SECRETARY
- MS. PATTI BERTRAM, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

I N D E X

	PAGE NO. CALL
TO ORDER	4
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS ITEM 1: INFORMATION ON AND DISCUSSION STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL 1 PROGRAM EVALUAT: STAFF PRESENTATION:	
MR. CHANDLER MR. SMITH	5, 38 21
MS. TRGOVCICH MS. RICE	40 51
MS. FRIEDMAN	57
BOARD DISCUSSION	74
ADJOURNMENT	102

Τ	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
2	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1997
3	9:30 A.M.
4	
5	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD MORNING.
6	WELCOME TO THE SECOND DAY OF THE CALIFORNIA
7	INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD MEETING. WILL
8	THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
9	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
10	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: HERE.
11	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE. ABSENT. GOTCH.
12	ABSENT. JONES.
13	BOARD MEMBER JONES: HERE.
14	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
15	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: HERE.
16	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
17	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HERE. QUORUM IS
18	PRESENT.
19	DO ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY
20	EX PARTES FOR THIS MORNING?
21	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I DON'T THINK THERE'S
22	ANYONE LOBBYING US ON THIS ISSUE.
23	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WHILE I DON'T EXPECT
24	ANY SPEAKERS OTHER THAN THE LINE-UP WE HAVE HERE

THIS MORNING, THERE ARE SPEAKER REQUEST FORMS IN

- 1 THE BACK; AND IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK, YOU WILL NEED
- 2 TO FILL ONE OUT AND GIVE IT TO MS. KELLY, WHO WILL
- 3 GET IT TO US.
- 4 WE PICK UP FROM YESTERDAY ON ITEM 39,
- 5 INFORMATION ON AND DISCUSSION OF THE BOARD'S
- 6 STRATEGIC GOAL PROGRAM EVALUATION. MR. CHANDLER.
- 7 MR. CHANDLER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN;
- 8 GOOD MORNING, MEMBERS. FIRST OF ALL, I THINK WE'RE
- 9 ALL A LITTLE TOO FORMAL THIS MORNING. IT SEEMS TO
- 10 ME WHEN WE DID THIS DOWN IN SAN BERNARDINO, I THINK
- 11 BOB'S SUGGESTION THAT ON THE SECOND DAY WHEN WE GET
- 12 TOGETHER, WE ALL TAKE OUR TIES OFF. WHERE IS BOB
- 13 WHEN YOU NEED HIM?
- 14 I THINK WE HAVE A PRETTY GOOD SHOW,
- 15 IF YOU WILL, FOR YOU THIS MORNING. KEITH AND I ARE
- 16 GOING TO BE THE LEAD VOCALS ACCOMPANIED BY THE REST
- 17 OF THE EXECUTIVE STAFF HERE, AND ON KEYBOARDS WILL
- 18 BE DOUG RALSTON. I THINK -- SERIOUSLY, I WOULD
- 19 LIKE AND HOPE THAT WE AS AN ORGANIZATION --
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I WANT TO WATCH
- 21 WHO'S GOING TO TAP DANCE THOUGH.
- MR. CHANDLER: THAT'S YOUR ROLE.
- BUT SERIOUSLY, I WOULD HOPE THAT WE
- 24 CAN, AS THE LEADERSHIP OF THIS ORGANIZATION, THAT

WE CAN MAKE A COMMITMENT PERHAPS TO DO THIS MORE

1 THAN JUST ONCE A YEAR, BUT ON OCCASION WHERE WE SIT 2 DOWN AND WE REEVALUATE, IF YOU WILL, ORGANIZA-3 TIONALLY WITH SOME OF OUR STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES. 4 AND I THINK WE'VE GOT A GOOD DOCUMENT IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN TO HELP PROVIDE SOME FRAMEWORK, AND 5 THAT'S WHAT WE'RE GOING TO FOCUS ON TODAY IS GIVING 6 7 YOU ALL AN UPDATE ON JUST WHERE WE ARE IN STARTING 8 TO TURN THE STRATEGIC PLAN NOT JUST INTO A SHELF 9 DOCUMENT LIKE THEY OFTENTIMES ARE, BUT INTO A DOCUMENT THAT ACTUALLY FORMS THE FOUNDATION FOR THE 10 BOARD TO FOCUS ITS RESOURCES AND OBJECTIVES MOST 11 12 SPECIFICALLY. 13 I'LL BE DOING THAT IN JUST A SECOND, BUT I THOUGHT IT WAS NOTEWORTHY, AS I LOOKED AT MY 14 15 IN-BASKET THIS MORNING AND I WAS REMINDED OF THE ARTICLE THAT I SENT YOU A WHILE BACK, "GRADE A 16 BOARDS: ANTICIPATION, ADVOCACY, AUTONOMY, 17 ACCOUNTABILITY, ADVICE, AND ASSISTANCE." AND I 18 WON'T GO THROUGH THOSE ALL, BUT I THINK IT'S 19 NOTEWORTHY TO AT LEAST POINT OUT THAT THE FIRST 20 21 ONE, ANTICIPATION, SAYS THAT THE ROLE OF THE BOARD IS TO LOOK INTO THE FUTURE, CREATE A SHARED VISION, 22

AND ENSURE THAT FUTURE ORIENTATION OR DIRECTION OR

- FOCUS FOR AN ORGANIZATION, AND THEN HOLD THE
- 25 MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTABLE FOR SHOWING PROGRESS AGAINST

1 THAT FUTURE DIRECTION AND FOCUS. AND SO I THINK TODAY AND I HOPE TODAY 2 3 CAN BE A FORUM WHERE WE CAN, IN A RELAXED SETTING, 4 IF YOU WILL, BUT GET A GOOD DIALOGUE GOING ON WHAT WE'RE ABOUT TO PRESENT RELATIVE TO REALLY GOAL 1, 5 THE EVALUATION OF OUR EFFORTS SO FAR TO BEGIN 6 IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGIC PLAN. 7 8 SO WITH THAT, LET ME JUST MOVE INTO MY INTRODUCTORY REMARKS, AND THEN WE'LL GO THROUGH 9 10 SOME SLIDES WE HAVE PREPARED FOR YOU. FIRST OF ALL, AS I INDICATED, WE DID FORMALLY ADOPT THE 11 12 STRATEGIC PLAN IN JUNE OF JUST THIS YEAR IN 13 PASADENA. AND AS YOU KNOW, WE FORMED A WORK GROUP TO DETERMINE THE FIRST PHASE OF IMPLEMENTATION 14 15 AROUND GOAL 1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS ITEM IS TO REPORT 16 TO YOU ON THE RESULTS OF OUR WORK SPECIFICALLY ON 17 18 GOAL 1. OUR PRESENTATION TODAY WILL COVER A DESCRIPTION OF THE BOARD'S CURRENT STATE. I THINK 19 IT'S IMPORTANT THAT BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT WHERE WE 20 21 WANT TO BE IN FUTURE STATE, WE OUGHT TO ALL BE WELL GROUNDED AND CLEAR ON WHAT IS THE CURRENT STATE OF 22

THE ORGANIZATION AS IT RELATES TO ITS RESOURCES,

24	ITS A	LLOCATION	OF	FUNI	DING, ETC	. SO	WE'RE	GOI	NG	ТО
25	DO AN	OVERVIEW	OF	OUR	RESOURCE	ALLO	CATION	AS	THE]

1 SUPPORT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN AND THEN SOME 2 FINDINGS THAT THE EXECUTIVE STAFF HERE FEEL ARE 3 APPROPRIATE AS IT RELATES TO OUR CURRENT STATE. 4 WE WILL THEN MOVE INTO THE IMPLEMEN-TATION TOWARDS THE FUTURE, AND THIS WILL INCLUDE A 5 DISCUSSION OF AN ORGANIZATIONAL MODEL AND SOME 6 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE EXECUTIVE STAFF ON A FEW 7 8 AREAS OF PRIORITY FOCUS. THE OUTCOME I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO 9 10 THAT I DESIRE TODAY IS TO REALLY, AS I SAID EARLIER, ENGAGE THE BOARD IN A DIALOGUE WITH THE 11 12 MANAGEMENT TEAM ON THE DIRECTION REGARDING NOT ONLY OUR PRIORITY AREAS, BUT THE DIRECTION THAT WE WISH 13 TO PURSUE AS AN ORGANIZATION TOGETHER IN 14 IMPLEMENTING THE PRIORITY AREAS AND A DISCUSSION 15 AROUND THE MODEL THAT WE'RE PROPOSING. 16 SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK SPECIFICALLY AT 17 18 GOAL 1. I CAN RECALL WHEN WE WERE DEVELOPING THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT WE HAD ESTABLISHED GOALS AROUND 19 20 DIVERSION, SUSTAINABLE MARKETS, CUSTOMER SERVICE, 21 AND IT WAS REALLY, I THINK, THE EXCHANGE WITH BOARD MEMBERS, SPECIFICALLY YOUR INPUT, THAT SAID, "WELL, 22

LET'S NOT FORGET THE OVERARCHING GOAL OF SUPPORTING

THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT, SPECIFICALLY

AS IT'S BEEN AMENDED, AND THE WASTE MANAGEMENT

1	HIERARCHY, THE MANDATES, OBJECTIVES THAT THE LAW
2	CREATED.
3	I THINK IN ADOPTING THIS GOAL, IT WAS
4	TO REAFFIRM SUPPORT NOT ONLY IN THE INTEGRATED
5	WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT, BUT THE HIERARCHY IN
6	PARTICULAR. WE ALL RECOGNIZE THAT THERE'S BEEN
7	HISTORICAL COMPETITION BETWEEN THE AREAS WITHIN THE
8	HIERARCHY AND BETWEEN DUAL MANDATES OF THAT BEING
9	DIVERTING WASTE FROM THE WASTESTREAM TO SUSTAINABLE
10	MARKETS WHILE REGULATING THE FACILITIES, PROTECTING
11	PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY. AND I BELIEVE IT WAS THE
12	BOARD'S INTENTION IN GOAL 1 TO HAVE STAFF PERFORM
13	AN ANALYSIS THAT WOULD IDENTIFY THE MOST EFFECTIVE
14	APPROACHES TO MEETING OUR DUAL MANDATES. AND THE
15	BOARD COMMUNICATED ITS INTENTIONS THROUGH THE
16	OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES OF GOAL 1.
17	IN OTHER WORDS, TO JUSTIFY THEIR
18	EXISTENCE, WE WERE TO EVALUATE ALL CURRENT AND
19	PROPOSED BOARD PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES IN RELATION
20	TO THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THIS GOAL AND TO REVIEW IT
21	ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. WE WERE TO ESTABLISH CRITERIA
22	FOR EVALUATING ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED BOARD
23	PROGRAMS, AND THEN SPECIFICALLY A STRATEGY TO DO

- 24 THAT. BASED ON THE EVALUATION OF THOSE PROGRAMS,
- 25 WE WOULD IMPLEMENT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS FOR

1 EACH PROGRAM: EITHER ELIMINATE OR SET A PROPOSED 2 DATE FOR SUNSET; RETAIN, EXPAND, REFOCUS, OR 3 TRANSFER THE FUNCTION EITHER INTERNALLY OR 4 EXTERNALLY EITHER TO THE PUBLIC SECTOR, STATE OR 5 LOCAL, OR THE PRIVATE SECTOR. 6 SO IN ORDER TO DO THIS, WE FORMED A CROSS FUNCTIONAL TEAM LED BY CHIEF COUNSEL TOBIAS 7 8 INVOLVING ALL LEVELS OF STAFF FORMED TO DETERMINE 9 HOW TO IMPLEMENT THIS EVALUATION THAT WAS REQUIRED IN THE GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND THE STRATEGY I'VE 10 JUST SPOKEN TO. 11 12 THE TEAM RECOMMENDED THAT STAFF 13 COMPLETE A PROGRAM SURVEY, WHICH IS INCLUDED IN YOUR AGENDA ITEM AS ATTACHMENT C. AFTER STAFF 14 15 COMPLETED THE SURVEY, THE EXECUTIVE STAFF USED THE INFORMATION TO CREATE THE SUMMARY MATRICES FOUND IN 16 ATTACHMENT A OF YOUR ITEM. COMBINATION OF THE 17 18 EVALUATION AND THE MATRICES PROVIDED US WITH THE INFORMATION THAT BEGINS TO DEFINE OUR CURRENT STATE 19 20 FROM BOTH A RESOURCE AND A PERFORMANCE PERSPEC-21 TIVE. 22 SO I'D LIKE TO GO THROUGH AT THIS

POINT SOME OF THE DETAIL WITH YOU NOW BEGINNING

24	WITH	THE	SUMN	MARY	OF	THE	BOA	ARD'S	POSI	IOITI	IS.	IN
25	OTHER	R WOF	RDS,	LET'	s :	LOOK	AT	JUST	HOW	ARE	OUR	

1 RESOURCES ALLOCATED ACROSS THIS ORGANIZATION. AS 2 YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TABLE, THE BOARD'S CURRENT 3 TOTAL OF AUTHORIZED POSITIONS PER THE GOVERNOR'S 4 BUDGET IS 421 WHAT WE CALL PY'S, PERSONNEL YEARS. 5 WHEN YOU TAKE OUT THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF MANAGEMENT AND ITS SUPPORT POSITIONS, YOU CAN SEE THAT A TOTAL 6 OF 52 POSITIONS ARE NOT DEDICATED TO THE DAY-TO-DAY 7 PROGRAM STAFF WORK, IF YOU WILL, REPRESENTING YOUR 8 9 OFFICES, THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE, OUR SUPPORT 10 POSITIONS, THE LIAISONS, AND THOSE POSITIONS THAT WE SEE ON LOAN TO CAL-EPA. 11 12 IN ADDITION, WHEN YOU TAKE OFF BOTH OUR REQUIRED SALARY SAVINGS AND HIRING FREEZE 13 VACANCIES, ANOTHER 39 POSITIONS WERE SUBTRACTED 14 15 FROM THE AUTHORIZED TOTAL. IN OTHER WORDS, THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE REQUIRES THAT WE MAINTAIN 5 16 PERCENT OR 21.8 POSITIONS THAT WE JUST MUST 17 MAINTAIN VACANT. WE HAVE FOUR POSITIONS 18 ESTABLISHED, BUT NO FUNDING FOR A FEDERAL PROGRAM 19 THAT DTSC HAS REDUCED FUNDING TO US. THAT WAS FROM 20 21 MILITARY BASE CLOSURE ACTIVITY. AS YOU KNOW, WE'VE HAD A HIRING FREEZE ON, PRETTY FIRM, PRETTY HARD 22

HIRING FREEZE SINCE NOVEMBER OF '96, AND WE HAVE

- ANOTHER PY 13, SO WE'RE SUBTRACTING THOSE 39 OUT.
- 25 AS A RESULT, WE HAVE ACTUALLY A TOTAL OF 330 11

POSITIONS WITH WHICH TO ACCOMPLISH OUR DIRECT

1

2 PROGRAM ACTIVITIES. 3 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I JUST -- GOING 4 BACK TO THE EXECUTIVE AND SUPPORT POSITIONS, THE 5 WAY YOU'VE JUST DESCRIBED IT, IT SEEMS TO ME, AND MAYBE I DON'T QUITE GET THIS, BUT 31 POSITIONS ARE 6 INVOLVED IN KEY ROLES OF ADVANCING OUR PROGRAMS 7 8 THERE. AND SO I WONDER WHETHER THEY REALLY NEED TO BE UNDERSTOOD AS NOT PART OF THE 330. I'M JUST 10 RAISING THAT QUESTION BECAUSE I CAN'T IMAGINE THE ORGANIZATION FUNCTIONING WITH ITS OBJECTIVES 11 12 WITHOUT THE LINE -- THE EXECUTIVE PEOPLE IN OUR OFFICE PLAYING THE ROLES THEY DO. SO I JUST WOULD 13 LIKE YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT. 14 15 MR. CHANDLER: WE HAD A NUMBER OF DEBATES AROUND WHETHER WE SHOULD -- FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE DO 16 YOU DRAW THE LINE? THE SENIOR LEVEL AND THE BRANCH 17 LEVEL MANAGEMENT TEAM SHOW UP UNDER THOSE THAT ARE 18 IN THE FIELD DOING THE DAY-TO-DAY WORK OR IN THEIR 19 20 CAPACITY OF BEING MANAGERS, ARE THEY REALLY 21 MANAGING FUNCTIONS, BUT THE DAY-TO-DAY STAFF WORK BEING DONE AT THE STAFF LEVEL. OBVIOUSLY I THINK 22 23 YOUR POINT IS THAT CARRY THAT UP INTO THIS TEAM

24	HERE,	THAT	WE'RE	ALL	DEDICATED	ТО	SOME	OF	THE	
25	OBJECT	IVES	WITHIN	1 THE	STRATEGIO	PI	AN A	ND :	THAT'	S
					12					

1

APPROPRIATE.

```
2
                    I THINK YOU CAN CUT THIS WHICHEVER
 3
      WAY YOU WANT. THAT IS, IT DOES INCLUDE CLERICAL
 4
      SUPPORT AND, OF COURSE, IT INCLUDES YOUR ADMINIS-
 5
      TRATIVE COMMITTEE ANALYSTS OR LIAISONS, IF YOU
      WILL, THAT ARE ALSO WITHIN THE DIVISIONS. SO WE
 6
 7
      JUST LUMPED THE NUMBERS THIS WAY, BUT YOUR POINT IS
 8
      WELL TAKEN. YOU CAN OBVIOUSLY ALLOCATE SOME OF
 9
      THOSE POSITIONS TO INITIATIVES IN THE LEGISLATION
10
      ARENA.
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I JUST SAY THAT,
11
12
      ESPECIALLY AS WE GET FURTHER DOWN THE LINE IN THE
      DISCUSSION OF HOW WE'RE DEPLOYING OUR RESOURCES
13
      OVERALL, BOTH AT -- YOU KNOW, I WOULD INCLUDE BOARD
14
      LEVEL ALL THE WAY THROUGH, THAT THIS IS WHAT THIS
15
      ENTERPRISE, I THINK, IS ALL ABOUT IS ALIGNMENT.
16
               MR. CHANDLER: WELL, CERTAINLY I THINK
17
      WHAT WE CAN SAY IS THE 330 POSITIONS YOU SEE UP
18
      THERE REPRESENT CLERICAL STAFF AND MIDDLE MANAGE-
19
      MENT ALL THE WAY UP TO THE EXECUTIVE LEVEL
20
21
      MANAGEMENT. AND THE REASON WE ARE PRESENTING THIS
      DETAIL TODAY IS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT ALTHOUGH WE
22
23
      HAVE 421 AUTHORIZED POSITIONS, NEARLY A HUNDRED OF
```

24	THOSE A	ARE W	HAT WE	WOULD	SAY,	ANYWAY,	ARE	NOT
25	DEDICAT	red To	O THE	DAY-TO- 13	-DAY Z	ACTIVITIE	ES TI	TAF

- 1 ACCOMPLISH THE LEGISLATIVE MANDATES AND THE BOARD'S
- 2 DIRECTIVES. THEY'RE MORE IN CHARGE OF SETTING THE
- 3 AGENDA AND MANAGING THOSE DIRECTIVES.
- 4 SO LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE ALLOCA-
- 5 TION OF THOSE RESOURCES ACROSS THE VARIOUS
- 6 DIVISIONS. I THINK THE TABLE IS PRETTY SELF-
- 7 EXPLANATORY. HOWEVER, I THOUGHT YOU'D WANT TO SEE
- 8 HOW THEY'RE ALLOCATED BY OFFICE AND MAJOR DIVISION.
- 9 AS YOU CAN SEE, THE THREE PROGRAM LINE DIVISIONS,
- 10 REFERRING TO P&E, MARKETS, AND PLANNING, ACCOUNT
- 11 FOR 70 PERCENT OF THE RESOURCES. P&E IS 30
- 12 PERCENT, MARKETS AND PLANNING REPRESENT 40 PERCENT.
- 13 IF YOU INCLUDE THE FOURTH DIVISION, ADMINISTRATIVE
- 14 SUPPORT, THE TOTAL OF ALL RESOURCES IS 93 PERCENT.
- 15 FROM A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE, MANY
- 16 OF YOU ARE FAMILIAR THAT WE'VE GONE FROM RAPID
- 17 GROWTH AT THE OUTSET OF AB 939, PEAKING IN FISCAL
- 18 YEAR '93-'94, TO A GRADUAL DECLINE IN PERSONNEL
- 19 RESOURCES OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS.
- 20 IN THE AREA OF CONTRACT AND O&E
- 21 FUNDING, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT PY RESOURCES AND WHERE
- 22 THEY'RE ALLOCATED. HOW ABOUT SOME OF OUR CONTRACTS
- 23 AND OPERATING EXPENSES, OTHER RESOURCES THAT
- 24 SUPPORT OUR ACTIVITIES. YOU CAN SEE HOW CONTRACT

25 FUNDING AND OE&E FUNDING HAS CHANGED OVER THE LAST 14

- 1 FIVE YEARS.
- 2 IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT FOR CONTRACT
- 3 FUNDING, WHICH IS THE LIGHTER BAR ON THE GRAPH,
- 4 WE'VE SEEN A GRADUAL DECLINE IN THE LEVEL OF
- 5 FUNDING AVAILABLE WITH THE EXCEPTION THAT THE
- 6 CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, THE ONE -- WE HAVE THAT
- 7 ONETIME BUMP OF \$5 MILLION TO SUPPORT THE TIRE
- 8 PROGRAM WAS MONIES THAT WERE RETURNED FROM THE
- 9 SWEEP AND THE CHANGE IN POINT OF COLLECTION. SO I
- 10 THINK YOU CAN PROBABLY LOOK AT THE DARK CHART,
- 11 WHICH INCLUDES CONTRACTS, BUT WE HAVE PULLED OUT IN
- 12 THE LIGHTER BAR CHART SPECIFICALLY THE CONTRACT
- 13 LEVEL. AND THAT SHOWS THE DOWNWARD TREND WE'VE
- 14 SEEN OVER THE LAST FEW YEARS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF
- 15 THAT ONETIME ALLOTMENT TO TIRE. AND THAT APPLIES
- 16 TO OE&E AS WELL.
- 17 I'VE PICKED OUT ONE AREA, TRAINING
- 18 FUNDS. SIMILARLY, WE'VE SEEN A FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT
- 19 DROP IN FUNDING SUPPORT FOR TRAINING. I THINK WE
- 20 NEED TO REEXAMINE THE LEVEL OF SUPPORT FOR TRAINING
- 21 AS IT RELATES TO THE ACHIEVEMENT OF OUR VISION OF
- 22 BECOMING THE NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL EXPERTS IN
- 23 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT.
- 24 HOW ABOUT -- NOW THAT I'VE DONE THIS

25 ACROSS THE BOARD, ALL FUNDS, LET'S LOOK AT SPECIAL 15

1 FUND ACTIVITIES JUST FOR A SECOND BECAUSE THEY ARE, 2 IF YOU KNOW, IMPACTED AND SUPPORTED BY THE 3 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT. AND I PUT THIS CHART UP TO JUST TO SHOW THE IMPACT ON THE 4 IWMA PROGRAM SUPPORT AS IT RELATES TO THE OIL AND 5 TIRE FUND PROGRAMS. GIVING STAFFING CONSTRAINTS, 6 AND I'M REFERRING TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE CAPS, YOU 7 8 CAN SEE FROM THIS TABLE THAT WE'RE USING 10 PY OR 10 POSITIONS FROM THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT 9 ACCOUNT TO SUPPORT THE OIL AND TIRE ACTIVITIES. I 10 THINK IT WAS MOST DRAMATICALLY SHOWN IN THE TIRE 11 12 AREA WHERE NEARLY 40 PERCENT OF THE RESOURCES THERE 13 COME FROM THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT, AGAIN GOVERNED BY THE FACT THAT WE'RE CAPPED 14 ADMINISTRATIVELY ON HOW HIGH WE CAN GO TO RUN THAT 15 16 PROGRAM. 17 I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOT FORGET 18 THE FACT THAT WE HAVE 17 BILLS THAT ARE SITTING ON THE GOVERNOR'S DESK RIGHT NOW AWAITING ACTION. 19 20 THIS DISPLAY THAT YOU SEE ABOVE, IF SIGNED INTO 21 LAW, THESE BILLS WOULD COST THE BOARD OVER \$600,000 TO IMPLEMENT IN THE CURRENT YEAR AND OVER TWO 22

MILLION IN EACH OF THE TWO SUBSEQUENT YEARS.

24	В	OARD MEMBE	R CHESBI	RO: ARE	THOSE	ACTUAL
25	INCREASES I	IN EXPENDI	TURE IN	TERMS OF	F ADDEI)
			16			

1 POSITIONS OR NEW EXPENSES, OR ARE THEY REDIRECTION 2 OF EXISTING RESOURCES? 3 MR. CHANDLER: WELL, WE PUT THE FISCAL IN, 4 AND WE INDICATED THIS IS WHAT WE ESTIMATED THE COST 5 AND THE RESOURCES TO BE. SO THEY ARE COVERED, BUT, OF COURSE, WE WOULD HAVE TO ABSORB THOSE WITHIN OUR 6 EXISTING BUDGET. SO WE DO NOT GET ADDITIONAL TIP 7 FEE MONEY TO ADD MORE REVENUE. SO YOU ARE RIGHT, 8 MR. CHESBRO. THE ISSUE HERE WOULD BE IF THESE 9 10 BILLS BECAME ENACTED, WE WOULD NEED TO REDIRECT UP TO SEVEN PY IN THE FIRST YEAR AND AS HIGH AS 11 11 12 FROM THOSE 13 PY'S OF VACANCIES THAT ARE FROZEN TO ROLL THOSE PROGRAMS OUT OR WE WOULD REDIRECT 13 EXISTING PERSONNEL TO ACCOMPLISH THESE NEW 14 15 MANDATES. AND I THINK I SHOULD NOTE THAT THE 16 17 FIGURE INCREASES IN THE SECOND AND THIRD YEAR DUE 18 TO THE EFFECTIVE DATES ON OF ONE THESE BILLS, SB 3030. 19 20 LASTLY, I'D LIKE TO MENTION TWO OTHER 21 FACTORS THAT WE NEED TO KEEP IN MIND AS WE LOOK AT OUR RESOURCES. FIRST IS FUTURE YEAR BCP'S WHICH 22

COULD IMPACT THE BOARD'S POSITION AND FUNDING

24	LEVELS	FOR FU	CURE YI	EARS,	AND	THE	SECOND	IS	THE
25	HIRING	FREEZE	WHICH		AVE :	IMPLE	MENTED	TO	HELF
				17					

```
BALANCE OUR BUDGET.
 1
 2
                     OUR SALARY SAVINGS TARGET THROUGH THE
 3
      HIRING FREEZE FOR THIS YEAR IS A HALF A MILLION
 4
      DOLLARS, 500,000, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY EIGHT PY.
 5
      THIS IS IN ADDITION TO, AS I HIGHLIGHTED EARLIER,
      THE 5-PERCENT SALARY SAVINGS WE'RE REQUIRED TO
 6
      MAINTAIN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE.
 7
                     SO LET'S TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE
 8
      FINDINGS THAT WE OBSERVE, AT LEAST FROM OUR CURRENT
 9
      STATE ANALYSIS, AGAIN, WHERE THE RESOURCES HAVE
10
      BEEN ALLOCATED AND WHERE OUR SUPPORT DOLLARS GO. I
11
12
      THINK IN A SECOND IT WILL BE INTERESTING TO SEE HOW
      WE HAVE DEDICATED THESE RESOURCES TO THE VARIOUS
13
      GOALS ACROSS THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND IN PREPARING
14
15
      THIS INFORMATION, I ASKED THE EXECUTIVE STAFF TO
      IDENTIFY THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY GOALS SUPPORTED
16
      BY EACH OF THEIR PROGRAMS. BASED UPON THE PRIMARY
17
18
      GOAL ONLY, THE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS ARE SHOWN ON
19
      THIS NEXT TABLE.
20
                     I SEE THAT WE HAVE UP GOALS 2, 3, AND
21
      4; AND I WANT TO REMIND YOU YOU HAVE IN YOUR AGENDA
```

ITEM THAT GOALS 2, 3, AND 4 ARE REITERATED AGAIN.

GOAL 2 BEING PRIMARILY LOOKING AT THE LOCAL

22

24 GOVERNMENT DIVERSION EFFORTS, AND GOAL 3	
25 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY EFFORTS. YOU CA	J CAN FIND

1 THOSE ON 226 OF YOUR OVERALL PACKET OR PAGE 10 OF 2 THIS AGENDA ITEM. 3 BUT AGAIN, SPECIFIC TO THE FOUR GOALS IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN, I THINK YOU CAN SEE THAT 4 5 GOALS 2 AND 3 HAVE THE MOST STAFF AND THE LARGEST NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES AT THE BOARD. A TOTAL OF 6 7 NEARLY 66 PERCENT OF THE RESOURCES IN TOTAL FILLED POSITIONS ARE DEDICATED TO GOALS 2 AND 3, WHICH MAKE SENSE. GOALS 2 AND 3 ARE DIVERSION EFFORTS 9 AND OUR MARKETS EFFORTS. SO CLEARLY TWO-THIRDS OF 10 THE ORGANIZATION'S RESOURCES ARE DEDICATED IN THOSE 11 12 TWO AREAS. AND THAT'S REPRESENTED BY THE TOTAL FILLED POSITIONS THAT YOU HAVE ON THE SCREEN. 13 14 AS I SAID, TOGETHER THESE TWO GOALS 15 CONSTITUTE 66 PERCENT OF THE BOARD'S RESOURCES AND PROGRAMS. AND THIS CONTINUES TO REINFORCE THAT WE 16 SEE OUR CORE FUNCTIONS BEING, ACHIEVING THE 17 18 50-PERCENT DIVERSION MANDATE AND SUPPORTING OUR REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES INHERENT IN THE OTHER 19 20 50 PERCENT. 21 LATER IN OUR PRESENTATION, WE'LL TALK ABOUT HOW THIS INFORMATION HELPED US LEAD TO THE 22

CONCLUSION THAT WE NEED TO FOCUS GREATER

- 24 PRIORITY -- FOCUS ON THE GREATER PRIORITY
- 25 ACTIVITIES HERE AT THE BOARD.

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHANDLER, THIS

1

2 IS PROBABLY SELF-EVIDENT TO EVERYBODY, BUT I THINK 3 THIS NEEDS TO BE QUALIFIED A LITTLE BIT BY POINTING 4 OUT THAT YOU MENTIONED THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY 5 ACTIVITIES, BUT CLEARLY THESE FOUR ARE ALL INTERTWINED. AND GOALS 2 AND 3 SUPPORT OR 6 7 COMPLETELY INTERTWINE WITH GOALS 1 AND 4. I MEAN THEY'RE NOT DISTINCT AT ALL. SO WHEN YOU SAY THAT THE 34 POSITIONS GO TO SUPPORT GOAL 1, YOU KNOW, IT NEEDS TO BE POINTED OUT THAT IF WE ACHIEVE GOALS 2 10 AND 3, THEN WE ARE ACHIEVING GOAL 1. SO, YOU KNOW, 11 12 IT'S NOT THAT CRISP. I THINK YOU HINTED AT IT, BUT I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT. 13 14 MR. CHANDLER: GOOD POINT. 15 SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT CURRENT STATE FINDINGS AS IT RELATES TO THE SECOND 16 AND THIRD BULLETS THAT WE REITERATED. LOOKING AT 17 THE CURRENT STATE FINDINGS, THE PROGRAM EVALUATIONS 18 HIGHLIGHTED THE FACT THAT WE DO NOT HAVE CONSISTENT 19 20 AND APPROPRIATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR THE 21 MAJORITY OF OUR PROGRAMS. THIS CREATES A NEED FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO BUILD AND CLEARLY DEFINE 22 23 APPROPRIATE MEASURES AS WE MOVE INTO IMPLEMENTATION

24	OF	THE	PLAN	AND	ACHIEVING	OUR	FUTURE	STA	ATE.
25				II	N ADDITION,	PRO	DBABLY	THE	MOST
					2.0				

IMPORTANT CONCLUSION WE HAVE REACHED THROUGH OUR 1 2 CURRENT STATE ANALYSIS IS THE NEED FOR THIS 3 ORGANIZATION TO BEGIN FOCUSING MORE DIRECTLY ON THE KEY STRATEGIES IT DEEMS APPROPRIATE TO ACHIEVE 4 5 THOSE DUAL MANDATES. 6 ON AN EARLIER CHART WE SAW THAT WE 7 HAVE 330 STAFF DEDICATED TO OVER A HUNDRED 8 PROGRAMS, AND WE KNOW THAT OUR STRATEGIC PLAN 9 IDENTIFIES 45 DIFFERENT STRATEGIES. IF WE ARE TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN ACHIEVING OUR CORE FUNCTION, WE 10 11 CAN NO LONGER AFFORD TO BE SPREAD THIS THIN. THEREFORE, THE EXECUTIVE STAFF BEGAN EXAMINING 12 METHODS FOR ORGANIZING OUR WORK THAT WOULD SUPPORT 13 OUR ABILITY TO FOCUS ON A FEW KEY PRIORITY AREAS. 14 WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER 15 TO KEITH SMITH TO DESCRIBE OUR THINKING ON HOW TO 16 17 SUCCESSFULLY FOCUS OUR EFFORTS HERE AT THE BOARD. MR. SMITH: WITH YOUR PERMISSION, I'D LIKE 18 19 TO STAND UP. I DON'T LIKE BEING ANCHORED DOWN. 20 OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS, IN A PERIOD WHEN RESOURCES ARE DECLINING, THE BOARD HAS 21 22 TWO AMBITIOUS GOALS: TO ASSIST OUR PARTNERS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY ACHIEVE A FURTHER 20 23

PERCENT IN WASTE REDUCTION AND TO PROTECT PUBLIC

25 HEALTH AND SAFETY IN THE ENVIRONMENT THROUGH 21

IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF THE REMAINING WASTES. 1 2 MY PURPOSE TODAY IS TO DESCRIBE A 3 PLANNING FRAMEWORK THAT WE THINK CAN HELP THE BOARD ACHIEVE FOUR OUTCOMES: TO FOCUS DISCUSSION, 4 DECISIONS, AND RESOURCES ON THE VITAL FEW BOARD 5 STRATEGIES THAT WILL ACCOMPLISH OUR LEGISLATIVE 6 7 MANDATES AND ACHIEVE OUR VISION AND GOALS; SECOND, 8 TO IDENTIFY WHEN AND HOW THE IMPORTANT MANY BOARD RESPONSIBILITIES WILL BE ADDRESSED; THIRD, TO PLAN, 9 MANAGE, AND MEASURE PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING THE 10 11 BOARD'S MANDATES AND TO PROVIDE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR 12 RESULTS; AND, FOURTH, TO DETERMINE WHEN TO MAINTAIN AND WHEN TO SUNSET A STRATEGY. 13 IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH THIS, WE 14 PROPOSE THAT THE BOARD FOCUS A MAJOR PART OF THE 15 STAFF'S EFFORT ON THE VITAL FEW STRATEGIES THAT 16 17 WILL HELP ACHIEVE THESE GOALS. WE SUGGEST THAT THESE VITAL FEW STRATEGIES SHOULD FOCUS ON TWO 18 19 AREAS: FIRST, GROUPINGS OF WASTE TYPES THAT 20 CONSTITUTE A COMMON PROCESSING STREAM THAT ARE EITHER OF SUFFICIENT TONNAGE TO SIGNIFICANTLY 21 22 CONTRIBUTE TO THE REMAINING 20-PERCENT REDUCTION OR THAT CONSTITUTE A SIGNIFICANT HAZARD; SECOND, 23 SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL OR PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 24

That can be a major means to accomplish the board's \$22\$

1 MANDATES. THE PROPOSED MODEL IS AN ADAPTATION 2 3 OF WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE SHEWHART OR DEMMING CYCLE, WHICH GRAPHICALLY DEPICTS HOW A STRATEGY MOVES FROM 4 ITS CURRENT STATE, WHICH IS THE BOTTOM LEFT, TO A 5 6 TARGET STATE THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF ONE OR MORE 7 STUDY, ASSESS, PLAN, DO, CHECK, AND ADJUST CYCLES. 8 THE STUDY-ASSESS PHASE FOCUSES ON DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND TESTING TO DETERMINE 9 IF A STRATEGY CAN SIGNIFICANTLY CONTRIBUTE TO 10 11 REACHING THE TARGET. PLANNING DETERMINES A 12 REASONABLE TARGET FOR THE STRATEGY, IDENTIFIES METHODS FOR REACHING THAT TARGET, AND DEFINES HOW 13 TO MEASURE PROGRESS. 14 THE TO-DO STAGE ENCOMPASSES TRAINING 15 AND IMPLEMENTATION. CHECK INVOLVES MONITORING OF 16 17 PROGRESS THROUGH PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT. ADJUST REFLECTS APPROPRIATE MIDCOURSE CORRECTIONS TO THE 18 19 PLAN. 20 DOUG'S BEEN PLAYING HERE. HE'S BEEN 21 HAVING FUN WITH POWERPOINT. 22 BOARD MEMBER JONES: LOOKS LIKE ESPN. MR. SMITH: THE ADAPTED MODEL FOR THE 23

BOARD SHOWS THE STEPS I HAVE DESCRIBED IN THE THREE

25 LEFT-HAND OVALS AND ADDS TWO FURTHER STEPS, \$23\$

1	MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES AND SUNSET, BOTH OF WHICH I								
2	WILL DESCRIBE LATER. THE MODEL ALSO SUPPOSES, AND								
3	IF YOU CAN SEE IT ON THE BOTTOM, THIS IS THE								
4	DECLINING LINE ON THE BOTTOM, THE MODEL ALSO								
5	SUPPOSES THAT WE WILL ACT CONTINUOUSLY TO DECREASE								
6	ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD OVER THIS PERIOD OF TIME.								
7	NOTE THAT THE VERTICAL AXIS DENOTES								
8	INCREASING RESOURCES AND THE HORIZONTAL AXIS								
9	DENOTES INCREASING OR PROGRESSIVE TIME.								
10	LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT HOW THE MODEL								
11	MIGHT WORK IN PRACTICE.								
12	ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT IS THE MORE								
13	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FOR THE STUDY-ASSESS PHASE. AT								
14	THIS STAGE THE BOARD CHOOSES THE WASTE TYPES OR								
15	OPERATING STRATEGIES IN WHICH TO INVEST TIME AND								
16	DOLLARS IN ORDER TO EXPLORE THEIR POTENTIAL								
17	THEIR POTENTIAL ROLE IN MEETING THE BOARD MANDATES.								
18	THE BOARD EXPLORES THE POTENTIAL								
19	IMPACT THROUGH DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS AND								
20	THROUGH INVESTMENT OF ITS GRANT AND CONTRACT								
21	DOLLARS IN THE TESTING OF NEW PRACTICES. THIS								
22	ALLOWS THE BOARD TO FOCUS ITS STAFF AND								
DOLLARS ON									

TARGETED AREAS, ASSESS THE RETURN ON THAT

INVESTMENT, DEVELOP REAL WORLD WORKING MODELS,

AND

ASSESS AND DOCUMENT SUCCESSFUL MODELS TO

CREATE A

- 1 CATALOG OF BEST PRACTICES FOR USE IN THE NEXT
- 2 STAGE, PLANNING. RESOURCES DEDICATED TO THIS
- 3 ACTIVITY, OF COURSE, WILL BE DELINEATED AS PART OF
- 4 THE PROCESS.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: CAN I ASK YOU A
- 6 QUESTION? WHEN YOU SAY SELECTION OF WASTE TYPE OR
- 7 OPERATION AND PARTNERSHIP STRATEGIES, BY OPERATION
- 8 DO YOU MEAN PROGRAMS?
- 9 MR. SMITH: NO. I THINK PROGRAMS ARE TOO
- 10 NARROW. I'M LOOKING AT A BROADER STRATEGY THAT
- 11 INVOLVES MULTIPLE PROGRAMS. TWO OF THE ISSUES THAT
- 12 YOU WILL SEE LATER ON THIS AFTERNOON KIND OF FALL
- 13 IN THAT AREA WHERE WE'RE LOOKING AT BROAD CROSS-
- 14 FUNCTIONAL EFFORTS TO MOVE AND TO EFFECT ONE OF
- 15 THESE STRATEGIES. WHEN WE'VE GOT 330 PEOPLE
- 16 INVOLVED IN A HUNDRED ONE PROGRAMS, DIVIDING IT
- 17 THREE PEOPLE PER PROGRAM, YOU DON'T GET A LOT OF
- 18 IMPACT. SO I'M LOOKING AT LARGE, CROSS-FUNCTIONAL
- 19 APPROACHES OR STRATEGIES. AND, YES, IT DOES NEED
- 20 SOME MORE DEFINITION.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THAT MEANS IT'S
- 22 PRIMARILY INTERNAL OR BOARD FUNCTIONS HERE AS
- OPPOSED TO WHAT'S HAPPENING OUT THERE IN THE
- 24 RECYCLING MARKETPLACE.

MR. SMITH: NO. I THINK IT ALSO

- 1 INVOLVES -- I SAID OPERATIONAL AND PARTNERSHIP. IT
 2 INVOLVES BOTH INTERNAL STRATEGIES AND HOW WE REACH
- 3 OUT TO OUR PARTNERS AND INVOLVE THEM IN THE PROCESS
- 4 TOO. SO I THINK THAT AREA DOES REQUIRE MORE
- 5 DEFINITION, I AGREE.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I HAVE TO
- 7 TELL YOU THIS IS MOVING REAL QUICKLY WITH THESE BIG
- 8 BROADBRUSH THINGS, AND THERE'S A LOT OF
- 9 DEFINITIONAL STUFF MISSING HERE. SO, YOU KNOW,
- 10 IT'S KIND OF LIKE, OH, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
- 11 MR. SMITH: I AGREE.
- 12 INTEGRATED STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT IS
- 13 THE ON-DECK CIRCLE FOR PLANNING WHERE THE BOARD
- 14 SELECTS THOSE STRATEGIES THAT WILL BE THE NEXT
- 15 FOCUS OF BOARD ATTENTION. STRATEGIES SELECTED
- 16 SHOULD BE THOSE THAT CURRENTLY HAVE THE GREATEST
- 17 POTENTIAL IMPACT ON ACHIEVEMENT OF EITHER OF THE
- 18 TWO MAJOR GOAL AREAS, THE ABILITY OF LOCAL JURIS-
- 19 DICTIONS TO MEET THE 50-PERCENT MANDATE OR TO
- 20 ENHANCE HEALTH AND SAFETY.
- 21 WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS A CROSS-
- 22 FUNCTIONAL TEAM OF MIDDLE MANAGERS AND SUBJECT
- 23 EXPERTS LED BY ONE OF THE SENIOR EXECUTIVES THAT
- 24 YOU SEE AT THE TABLE HERE. THE TEAM PREPARES A

25 READY-TO-GO IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE STRATEGY.

1 PART OF THE BENEFITS OF A CROSS-FUNCTIONAL TEAM ARE THAT IT HELPS TO INVOLVE THE WHOLE ORGANIZATION IN 2 3 SETTING THE DIRECTIONS FOR THE ORGANIZATION. AND 4 TO THE EXTENT THAT THE -- A LARGE NUMBER OF MIDDLE MANAGERS ARE INVOLVED IN THIS, IT MUCH IMPROVES 5 COMMUNICATION AROUND THE BOARD DIRECTION AND THE 6 BOARD'S PRIORITIES. 7 8 THE TEAM DEFINES THE SCOPE OF THE 9 EFFORT, SETS SPECIFIC AND MEASURABLE TARGETS FOR 10 ACCOMPLISHMENT. AND I WANT TO STRESS THAT. THE EARLIER POINT ABOUT PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT IS 11 12 REAL. I THINK WHAT -- A NUMBER OF THE STRATEGIES I SAW IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN HAD VAGUE, GENERAL GOALS. 13 AND I THINK WE NEED TO GET MUCH MORE SPECIFIC ABOUT 14 15 HOW FAR WE ARE MOVING THE YARDSTICKS. MIXED METAPHOR HERE, BASEBALL AND FOOTBALL. BUT HOW FAR 16 WE ARE MOVING THE YARDSTICKS IF WE ARE ADDRESSING A 17 18 WASTESTREAM, HOW MUCH SPECIFICALLY DO WE ANTICIPATE WE WILL BE ABLE TO SQUEEZE OUT OF THAT STREAM SO 19 THAT WE HAVE A MEASURABLE TARGET AGAINST WHICH TO 20 21 GO AND WE CAN MEASURE THE PROGRESS. SO I AM DEFINITELY HERE SAYING THAT PART OF THE PLANNING 22 23 EFFORT IS A VERY SPECIFIC DELINEATION. AND I

24 THINK, AS YOU WILL SEE AS CAREN COMES UP LATER, SHE
25 HAS STARTED SOME OF THIS WORK TO REALLY SIZE WHAT
27

1 WE CAN ACCOMPLISH IN EACH OF THESE AREAS. 2 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: ORGANIZATIONALLY, 3 WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE -- I MEAN WHICH IS A FAIRLY RADICAL DEPARTURE FROM THE WAY I THINK 4 5 WE'RE FOCUSED NOW IS THERE WOULD BE OWNERSHIP OF AN ACTIVITY BY ONE OF OUR EXECUTIVE TEAM HERE, AND 6 7 THEY WOULD BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR REACHING A STATED 8 OBJECTIVE THAT WE ALL AGREE TO. AND WE LOOK TO THAT INDIVIDUAL AND THAT TEAM, HOWEVER IT PLAYS OUT 10 IN THE CROSS ORGANIZATIONS, TO AT THE TIME EXPLAIN HOW THE PROGRESS THAT'S BEING MADE OR LACK OF, AND 11 12 THAT'S WHERE THE ACCOUNTABILITY LIES. 13 MR. SMITH: ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S MY PROPOSED LIST, THAT THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE IN THAT 14 15 TEAM NOT ONLY ARE INVOLVED IN THE PLANNING, BUT GO THROUGH RIGHT UNTIL THE STRATEGY IS FOLDED EITHER 16 INTO THE LAST STAGES, WHICH ARE MAINTENANCE OR 17 18 SUNSET, SO THAT THEY REMAIN RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT STRATEGY RIGHT THROUGH TO ITS COMPLETION. 19 20 ABSOLUTELY CORRECT. 21 OKAY. LET ME GET BACK TO WHERE I AM HERE. I'VE TALKED ABOUT SETTING TARGETS WHICH I 22

PROPOSE ARE SPECIFIC AND MEASURABLE. I'M ALSO

24 PROPOSING THAT PART OF THAT PLAN BE MILESTONES SO
25 THAT WE HAVE A WAY TO CHECK ON PROGRESS AND A WAY
28

TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD OR TO EXECUTIVE

1

TRAFFIC

2 MANAGEMENT ABOUT PROGRESS AND SO THAT THAT PROGRESS 3 CAN BE ASSESSED. 4 THE METHODS USED BY ALL PARTS OF THE 5 ORGANIZATION -- AND AGAIN, I WANT TO STRESS THAT THIS IS AN INTEGRATED EFFORT, THAT IT WILL TIE THE 6 PUBLIC AFFAIRS, THE MARKET DIVISION, THE LOCAL 7 8 ASSISTANCE AND HOW ALL OF THOSE PARTS OF THE ORGANIZATION INTERACT TO MOVE THIS AGENDA ITEM 9 10 FORWARD. SO THE METHODS TO BE USED BY ALL PARTS OF THE ORGANIZATION ARE DESCRIBED AND THE NEEDED 11 12 RESOURCES DETERMINED. AGAIN, AN IMPORTANT STEP. IF WE ARE MOVING TOWARDS THESE AS A PRIORITY, WHAT 13 IS IT GOING TO TAKE IN EACH OF THESE AREAS TO DO 14 15 THIS COMPLETELY AND FULLY. IT ALSO SUPPOSES THAT, AS THIS IS A 16 READY-TO-GO STRATEGY, THAT THE MATERIALS NEEDED, 17 18 SUCH AS THE PUBLIC OUTREACH MATERIALS, WILL BE PREPARED, THAT THE SPECIFIC LOCAL GOVERNMENT OR 19 20 INDUSTRY PARTNERS ARE IDENTIFIED. IF WE ARE FOCUSING, FOR INSTANCE, IN ORGANICS, IT'S PROBABLE 21 THAT THE CITY OF COMMERCE DOESN'T HAVE HUGE 22

23 IN ORGANICS. SO IT REALLY IS HERE A WAY TO TARGET

24 THOSE PARTICULAR PARTNERS THAT ARE GOING TO BE

MOST

25 INFLUENTIAL AND AFFECTED BY THIS. SO AGAIN, HERE

29

- 1 IS WHERE WE REACH OUT TO IDENTIFY THOSE PARTNERS
- 2 AND, IF APPROPRIATE, WE BRING THOSE PARTNERS INTO
- 3 THE PLANNING PROCESS.
- 4 SO AGAIN, THIS IS AN EXTERNAL
- 5 OUTREACH AS WELL AS AN INTERNAL VIEW HERE. AGAIN,
- 6 AT THE NEXT STEP, WE ESTABLISH THE SPECIFIC
- 7 PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS TO TRACK THE
- 8 PROGRESS. WE AGREE UPON THEM, AND WE SET IN PLACE
- 9 READY TO GO THE DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, AND
- 10 REPORTING SYSTEMS AT THIS POINT.
- 11 FINALLY, A STRATEGY -- A LIFE CYCLE
- 12 FOR THE STRATEGY IS PROPOSED. I DO NOT PROPOSE
- 13 THAT THESE THINGS HAVE EXTENDED LIFETIMES. MY
- 14 RECOMMENDATION IS THAT, FOR THE MOST COMPLEX OF
- 15 THESE STRATEGIES, A MAXIMUM OF 18 MONTHS BE SET,
- 16 WITH MOST STRATEGIES HAVING A SHORTER LIFE CYCLE OF
- 17 ONE YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE STRATEGY IS MOVED TO A
- 18 MAINTENANCE OR A SUNSET STEP OR THE STRATEGY IS
- 19 SUFFICIENTLY PROGRESSING AND THAT IT RECEIVES AN
- 20 EXTENSION.
- 21 I THINK WE NEED TO PUT A SENSE OF
- 22 URGENCY AND A REAL DROP-DEAD DATE ON THE TIME
- 23 FRAMES FOR THESE.
- 24 FINALLY --

BOARD MEMBER RELIS: EXCUSE ME. I DON'T

- 1 UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 2 MR. SMITH: WHAT I'M SAYING IS --
- 3 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: STRATEGY, TIME FRAME,
- 4 WHAT'S THAT MEAN?
- 5 MR. SMITH: WELL, WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT
- 6 WE HAVE A CERTAIN LIFE CYCLE FOR OUR EFFORTS IN
- 7 THIS AREA, THAT THERE IS A CLOSURE DATE AT WHICH WE
- 8 MOVE IT OFF THE AGENDA AND MOVE ANOTHER STRATEGY
- 9 ON. IT'S NOT THAT WE STOP DOING IT, THAT WE FOCUS.
- 10 WE HAVE SQUEEZED AS MUCH AS WE CAN OUT OF THAT. IN
- 11 THE REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME WE MOVE TO ANOTHER
- 12 BIG AREA WHERE WE CAN --
- 13 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SO YOU ARE SAYING,
- OKAY, LET'S JUST SUPPOSE YOU PICK FUNCTION ONE IN A
- PRIORITY FRAMEWORK, AND YOU MOVE INTO IT, YOU
- 16 MOBILIZE, YOU IDENTIFY THE TEAM LEADER, THE PROGRAM
- 17 IS LAID OUT, AND THEN YOU EXPECT THAT WITHIN ONE
- 18 YEAR, UNLESS THERE'S A COMPELLING REASON, THAT
- 19 REACHES A MAINTENANCE LEVEL, AND THEN YOU PULL UP
- 20 OTHER ACTIVITIES?
- 21 MR. SMITH: WHAT I'M LOOKING AT IS THE
- 22 LIKELIHOOD THAT EACH OF THESE MAY GO THROUGH MORE
- THAN ONE CYCLE. YOU WILL GET THE BIG OUTCOME, THE
- 24 BIG BANG FOR THE BUCK IN THE EARLY STAGES. IT GETS

25 PROGRESSIVELY MORE DIFFICULT, SO IF I'M TAKING A

- 1 CERTAIN AMOUNT OF RESOURCES AND APPLYING IT TO AN
- 2 ISSUE, IN ALL LIKELIHOOD I WILL GET THE LARGER
- 3 BENEFITS EARLY ON. THEN LOOK BEHIND THAT AND ASK
- 4 ARE THERE OTHER AREAS WHERE IF I APPLIED THOSE
- 5 RESOURCES, I CAN GET A BIG JUMP FROM THAT. SO IT'S
- 6 A SEQUENCE --
- 7 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I WOULD JUST ARGUE,
- 8 SINCE WE'RE IN A DISCUSSION, THAT THAT MAY OR MAY
- 9 NOT BE CORRECT, DEPENDING ON WHAT THE ACTIVITY IS.
- 10 YOU MAY FIND THAT THERE ARE LEAD TIMES, THAT A
- 11 CRITICAL LEVEL OF ACTIVITY CANNOT ACTUALLY GEL FOR
- 12 WELL OVER A YEAR. YOU KNOW, I LOOK BACK AND SAY,
- 13 WELL, SAY IN ORGANICS AND THE DEMONSTRATION
- 14 PROJECTS, THERE WAS A VERY SLOW SORT OF GESTATION,
- 15 AND THEN YOU REACH A POINT WHERE YOU CROSS INTO
- 16 TRANSFERABILITY.
- 17 IT WAS KIND OF LIKE LOOKING AT IT,
- 18 TOUCHING IT, AND THEN FINALLY MATERIAL STARTS
- 19 MOVING IN A DIRECTION. AND WE START DOWN A PATH
- 20 WHERE WE HAVE A STRATEGY TO WORK WITH PARTNERSHIP.
- 21 PARTNERSHIPS DON'T HAPPEN OVERNIGHT. IT MAY TAKE
- 22 THREE MONTHS TO PUT TOGETHER A CRITICAL MEETING
- 23 THAT WE NEED TO HAVE TO LAY THE FOUNDATION FOR THE
- 24 PARTNERSHIP. I'M NOT ARGUING.

MR. SMITH: LET ME GO BACK TO YOUR

- 1 EXAMPLE. I SEE THAT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY WORKING
- 2 IN THIS FIRST PHASE HERE. I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF
- 3 THAT SLOW MATURITY COMING IN PHASE I, THE ANALYSIS
- 4 AND DEVELOPMENT. WE HAVE SELECTED AT THAT POINT --
- 5 WE'RE STEPPING INTO THIS TEN YEARS INTO THIS, SO A
- 6 LOT OF THESE THINGS ARE SCATTERED AROUND THIS
- 7 DIAGRAM; BUT IF WE WERE STARTING TODAY WITH
- 8 ORGANICS, WE WOULD BE BACK HERE IN AN ANALYSIS AND
- 9 DEVELOPMENT PHASE.
- 10 WHEN, AS YOU SAID, IT REACHES A
- 11 POINT -- A CRITICAL POINT OF MATURITY, THEN WE KICK
- 12 IT INTO THE INTEGRATED STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT AND
- MOVE IT UP, SO WE ARE NOW READY TO MOVE, BUT THIS
- 14 IS THE KIND OF -- WE'RE BOILING AWAY DOWN HERE
- 15 TRYING TO FIND WHETHER SOMETHING CAN -- IS WORTH
- 16 INVESTING LARGE AMOUNTS OF THE BOARD'S RESOURCES.
- 17 WHEN THAT CRITICAL POINT OCCURS, WE SHIFT IT UP TO
- 18 STEPS 2 AND 3 IS WHAT I'M SAYING, SO I AGREE WITH
- 19 YOU, THAT THAT MATURITY DEVELOPMENT IS DOWN IN STEP
- 20 1.
- 21 FINALLY, AS WE LOOK AT THE PLAN IN
- 22 THESE AREAS, WE HAVE TO LOOK AT HOW THEY INTERACT.
- THE POINT THAT BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO MADE EARLY ON

- 24 IS THAT NONE OF THESE WORKS IN ISOLATION. THEY ALL
- 25 INTERACT. SO PART OF THE PLANNING PROCESS IS TO 33

1

LOOK AT THE INTERACTION WITH ALL THE OTHER EFFORTS.

2 WE TALKED ABOUT THE ON-DECK CIRCLE. 3 THIS IS THE BOARD PRIORITIES, THE BOARD IMPLEMENTATION PRIORITY CIRCLE. AS THE RESOURCES 4 5 ALLOW AND AS OTHER STRATEGIES AT THIS STAGE IN THE ON-DECK CIRCLE -- LET ME GO BACK. AS RESOURCES 6 7 ALLOW AND AS OTHER STRATEGIES AT THE NEXT STAGE, 8 THIS STAGE, ARE COMPLETED, STRATEGIES ON THE ON-DECK CIRCLE ARE SELECTED TO BECOME A BOARD 9 IMPLEMENTATION PRIORITY. THESE ARE THE ISSUES THAT 10 11 WE ARE DEALING WITH HERE AND NOW. THIS IS WHERE THE DO-CHECK-ACT STEPS OCCUR. 12 13 BOARD SELECTION INITIATES FULL IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSURES THAT THESE STRATEGIES 14 RECEIVE PRIORITY ATTENTION AND THE RESOURCES 15 16 NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THEM. 17 WHILE THE IMPLEMENTATION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE VARIOUS DIVISIONS AND 18 19 PROGRAMS, THE AFOREMENTIONED EXECUTIVE TEAM --20 EXECUTIVE LED CROSS-FUNCTIONAL TEAM MAINTAINS RESPONSIBILITY FOR MONITORING AND EVALUATING 21 22 PROGRESS AND FOR RECOMMENDING ANY MIDCOURSE CORRECTIONS. THE TEAM LEADER IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE 23 24 FOR SUCH PROGRESS REPORTS AS THE BOARD REQUIRES.

THE FINAL TEAM RESPONSIBILITY IS TO

34

RECOMMEND, IF NECESSARY, EXTENSION OF TIME AS A 1 2 PRIORITY, THE TYPE AND LEVEL OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY REQUIRED ONCE THE STRATEGY IS NO LONGER AN 3 ACTIVE PRIORITY, OR WHETHER SUNSET OF THIS ISSUE 4 5 SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD. 6 IF MAINTENANCE IS RECOMMENDED, THE 7 TEAM ALSO RECOMMENDS WHETHER FURTHER ANALYSIS AND 8 DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN SO THAT A FURTHER CYCLE AS A BOARD PRIORITY MAY BE CONSIDERED. IN 9 OTHER WORDS, WE'VE WRUNG MAYBE 40 OR 50 PERCENT OF 10 WHAT WE CAN GET OUT, BUT TO GET THE NEXT 20, WE'RE 11 12 GOING TO HAVE TO GO BACK, DEVELOP SOME NEW STRATEGIES, AND MOVE AT IT AGAIN. SO IT CAN BE A 13 SERIES OF REPETITIVE CYCLES HERE. 14 MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES ARE THOSE 15 ACTIVITIES THE PERFORMANCE OF WHICH ARE STILL 16 17 NECESSARY FOR THE BOARD TO FULFILL THE MANDATES, BUT THAT HAVE MATURED TO A POINT WHERE THEY CAN BE 18 PERFORMED AT A REDUCED RESOURCE LEVEL. BOARD 19 20 SELECTION OF A WASTESTREAM OR STRATEGY FOR MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES KEEPS IT ON THE AGENDA, BUT 21 22 AT A MUCH REDUCED LEVEL. EXISTING INFORMATION AND MATERIALS 23

ARE KEPT UP-TO-DATE, REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ARE

25 RESPONDED TO, SIMPLE REQUESTS FOR ASSISTANCE MAY BE 35

UNDERTAKEN IF RESOURCES ALLOW, BUT NO MAJOR NEW

2	INITIATIVES ARE UNDERTAKEN. THE PREVIOUSLY
3	ESTABLISHED MEASURES MAY CONTINUE TO BE COLLECTED
4	AND MONITORED SO THAT ANY SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN
5	PERFORMANCE IS DETECTED, ITS IMPACT EVALUATED, AND
6	THE NEED FOR ACTION DETERMINED. SHOULD SUCH A
7	CHANGE OCCUR, THE STRATEGY CAN BE MOVED TO A FIRST
8	OR SECOND STEP OF A NEW CYCLE.
9	RESOURCES TO PERFORM THESE
10	MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, AGAIN, ARE DETERMINED.
11	WHAT IS IT GOING TO TAKE TO KEEP THIS MINIMAL LEVEL
12	OF ACTIVITY GOING?
13	A RECENT EXECUTIVE ORDER REQUIRES
14	THAT REGULATIONS BE REGULARLY REVIEWED FOR POSSIBLE
15	SUNSET. THIS PROCESS ALSO ALLOWS FOR THAT TO
OCCUR.	
16	AS A PARTICULAR WASTESTREAM, SOURCE
17	REDUCTION AND DIVERSION PROCESS STABILIZES, OR AS
18	AN OPERATING STRATEGY REACHES THE END OF ITS USEFUL
19	LIFE, THEN SUNSET OF REGULATIONS SUPPORTING THAT
20	ISSUE MAY BECOME APPROPRIATE. SUCH A RECOMMENDA-
21	TION MAY OCCUR AFTER ONE OR MORE TERMS AS A BOARD
22	PRIORITY OR AFTER A PERIOD OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY.
23	IN SUMMARY, THIS PROCESS ALLOWS THE

24	BOARD	ТО	MAKE	THE	BEST	USE	OF	ITS	SCARC	ĽΕ	AND	COST	СГУ
25	RESOUE	RCES	S, ITS	S PE	OPLE.	IT	AL	LOWS	THE	PL	ACEM	IENT	OF
					3	5							

1

21

22

23

EACH WASTESTREAM AND OPERATING OR PARTNERSHIP

- 2 STRATEGY AT AN APPROPRIATE PLACE IN THE CYCLE. IDENTIFIES THE VITAL FEW CURRENT BOARD PRIORITIES 3 AS ACTIVE, ON DECK, OR IN ANALYSIS AND 4 5 DEVELOPMENT. IT FOSTERS DISCUSSION OF WHEN AND HOW 6 THE IMPORTANT MANY RESPONSIBILITIES WILL TAKE THEIR 7 PLACE AS A BOARD PRIORITY. IT DESCRIBES THE MEANS 8 TO PLAN, MANAGE, AND MEASURE PROGRESS TOWARDS MEETING BOARD MANDATES AND PROVIDES ACCOUNT-9 ABILITY. FINALLY, IT PROVIDES A MEANS TO DETERMINE 10 11 WHEN AND HOW TO MAINTAIN AND WHEN TO SUNSET A 12 PRIORITY. THE PURPOSE OF A MODEL IS TO PROVIDE 13 A DISCUSSION FRAMEWORK TO FOCUS OUR EFFORTS. 14 NEXT STEP IN THE PROCESS, IF YOU AGREE THAT THE 15 APPROACH IS APPROPRIATE, ARE TO IDENTIFY THE FOCUS 16 17 WASTESTREAMS AND OPERATING AND PARTNERSHIP ISSUES THAT QUALIFY AS POTENTIAL STRATEGIES, TO DEVELOP 18 19 CRITERIA FOR PLACEMENT OF THOSE STRATEGIES WITHIN 20 THE CYCLE, TO RECOMMEND SUCH PLACEMENT WITH
- 24 I'D LIKE TO TURN THE MEETING OVER TO

AND EFFECTIVELY TO EXECUTE THE STRATEGIES.

SUPPORTING DATA FOR BOARD APPROVAL; AND FINALLY,

WHEN APPROVAL IS FORTHCOMING, TO ACT EXPEDITIOUSLY

RALPH TO LEAD THE DISCUSSION ON SOME EARLY

25

1 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTIVE BOARD PRIORITIES. 2 MR. CHANDLER: THANKS, KEITH. MAYBE 3 BEFORE I MOVE RIGHT INTO THAT, I JUST WANT TO SPEAK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE OBSERVATION THAT MR. RELIS 4 5 MADE ABOUT THE CROSS-FUNCTIONAL TEAM APPROACH HERE BECAUSE, WHILE I THINK WE COULD SAY IT'S PERHAPS 6 7 RADICAL, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE, FIRST OF 8 ALL, THAT WE OVER THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS HAVE BEEN TRYING TO BUILD A CULTURE HERE AT THE BOARD THAT 9 10 SPEAKS TO THE INTEGRATION TO THE PROGRAMS. FACT THAT, AS MR. CHESBRO IS POINTING OUT, WHICH 11 12 REGULATIONS WE BRING FORWARD UNDER THE TIERED PERMITTING STRUCTURE NEEDS TO BE DONE WITH AN EYE 13 TOWARDS WHAT ARE SOME OF OUR OBJECTIVES THAT WE'RE 14 TRYING TO ACHIEVE IN DEVELOPING SUSTAINABLE 15 MARKETS AND WHERE DO WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD. SO ALL OF A 16 17 SUDDEN, LOOKING AT C&D ORGANICS BECOMES MORE CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD AS OPPOSED TO BIOSOLIDS OR SOME 18 19 OF THE OTHER THINGS THAT MIGHT BE FURTHER DOWN THE 20 LIST. 21 SO WE'VE ALREADY BEGUN A CULTURE

HERE

22	OF TRYING TO LOOK AT PROGRAM ACTIVITY IN ONE
23	DIVISION AS IT RELATES TO NEEDS AND OBJECTIVES IN
24	OTHERS AND THEN DRAW ON STAFF FROM THE VARIOUS
25	DIVISIONS TO DO THAT.

1	WHAT THIS MODEL PROPOSES IS AN
2	ATTEMPT TO, IF YOU WILL, ALMOST INSTITUTIONALIZE
3	THAT APPROACH TO MORE FORMALIZE IT AND LEND SOME
4	STRUCTURE AROUND IT WITH MUCH MORE ACCOUNTABILITY
5	ON THE PERFORMANCE SIDE WITH ONGOING PERFORMANCE
6	REPORTING TO THE BOARD ON HOW WE'RE DOING ON SOME
7	OF THOSE INITIATIVES.
8	I THINK THE MODEL, AS KEITH SAID,
9	WHILE SOMEWHAT THEORETICAL OR REALLY A MODEL FOR
10	DISCUSSION, WILL BECOME A LITTLE BIT MORE CLEAR AS
11	WE START TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE PRIORITY AREAS
12	WE AS EXECUTIVE STAFF HAVE SUGGESTED THAT THE BOARD
13	CONSIDER FOR THE BOARD IMPLEMENTATION CIRCLE WHICH
14	YOU SEE HIGHLIGHTED ON THE SCREEN.
15	LET ME TRY TO DO THAT NOW. AND
16	AGAIN, USING THE MODEL THAT KEITH DESCRIBED, WE'D
17	LIKE TO RECOMMEND A FEW KEY PRIORITY AREAS THAT
18	WOULD BE PLACED ON THE MODEL IN THE AREA HIGH-
19	LIGHTED ON THE SCREEN. I'D LIKE TO GIVE YOU OUR
20	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PRIORITY AREAS AND ASK THAT
21	MEMBERS OF EXECUTIVE STAFF DISCUSS IN MORE DETAIL
22	WHAT THEY ARE AND WHY THEY CHOSE THEM, THE CRITERIA
23	BEHIND THEM A BIT.
24	THE PRIORITY AREAS THAT WE HAVE

25 RECOGNIZED THROUGH OUR DELIBERATIONS IS, AGAIN, 39

BASED ON A GOAL 1 IN THE PROGRAM EVALUATION WORK 1 2 AND OUR EXAMINATION OF THE VARIOUS POLICY INITIATIVES AT THE BOARD, WE SEE THESE FOUR AREAS 3 FOR PRIORITY FOCUS. NO. 1, FOCUSED REDUCTIONS IN 4 THE ORGANICS AREA, FOCUSED REDUCTIONS IN 5 б CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE, IMPROVED LEA 7 PERFORMANCE, AND IMPROVED LOCAL GOVERNMENT 8 PERFORMANCE IN THE DIVERSION AREA. LET ME START FIRST AND TRY TO GET 9 MORE SPECIFIC HERE NOW ON HOW THIS WOULD ACTUALLY 10 11 WORK A LITTLE BIT MORE BY CALLING ON CAREN TO SAY MORE ABOUT THE FIRST TWO PRIORITIES ON THE LIST. 12 MS. TRGOVCICH: IF IT WORKS FOR YOU, WHAT 13 I'D LIKE TO DO IS DISCUSS THEM BOTH SOMEWHAT IN 14 TANDEM SINCE, AS ELEMENTS OF THE WASTESTREAM, WHAT 15 WE SAY BOTH THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BOARD'S 16 1993 MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AS WELL AS THE 17 SUBSEQUENT 1996 UPDATE THROUGH THE 50-PERCENT 18 19 UNDERTAKEN BY THE BOARD AND THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT 20 OF THE BOARD'S STRATEGIC PLAN, WE SAW THESE KEY AREAS COME FORWARD IN EACH OF THOSE VENUES. SO I 21 22 WANT TO DISCUSS BOTH OF THESE SOMEWHAT TOGETHER AND TRY TO DESCRIBE FOR YOU THE DIFFERENCE HERE, WHY 23 AND HOW WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT THIS SOMEWHAT 24

DIFFERENTLY.

1	TO GO BACK TO THE PREMISE OF THE
2	MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND THE 50-PERCENT
3	INITIATIVE IN TERMS OF WHAT WE WERE LOOKING FOR,
4	HOW WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO IDENTIFY OUR PRIMARY
5	TARGETS, WE WERE LOOKING FIRST AND FOREMOST AT THE
6	RELATIVE CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS ACHIEVING 50
7	PERCENT. AS EACH OF YOU KNOW, AND THERE HAVE
8	PROBABLY BEEN MANY OPPORTUNITIES FOR YOU TO GO OUT
9	AND SPEAK ON THESE TOPICS, BOTH OF THESE TWO LARGE
10	WASTESTREAM CATEGORIES COMPRISE A SIGNIFICANT, IF
11	NOT MAJORITY, PERCENTAGE OF THE WASTESTREAM.
12	WE ARE LOOKING AT LARGE QUANTITIES IN
13	TERMS OF TONNAGE MOVING INTO THE LANDFILLS, AND WE
14	ARE LOOKING AT A TURNAROUND AND MOVEMENT OF THESE
15	MATERIALS BEING DIVERTED AWAY FROM TRADITIONAL
16	LANDFILLING AND INTO OTHER MARKET DEVELOPMENT
17	OPPORTUNITIES.
18	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: CAREN, COULD I ASK
19	WHAT KIND OF STATISTICAL ANALYSIS WE ACTUALLY DID
20	TO ARRIVE AT THAT?
21	MS. TRGOVCICH: WHAT WE DID WAS WE LOOKED
22	AT PRIMARILY THE INFORMATION THAT WAS GATHERED
23	THROUGH THE INITIAL MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND

24	50-PEF	RCENT	INITIA	CIVE,	AND	THE	TAHW M	WE	DID	WAS
25	BEGIN	CORRE	CLATING	THAT	ТО	THE	UPDATEI	II C	NFORI	/ATION
				41	1					

1 THAT IS COMING IN THROUGH THE DIVERSION PLANNING 2 AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION THROUGH THE REPORTS 3 THAT THEY ARE RECEIVING. 4 JUDY AND I HAVE BEEN TALKING AND 5 CONTINUING TO BE ABLE TO -- TRYING TO BRING OUR STAFFS TOGETHER TO REFINE THOSE NUMBERS. YOU'RE 6 7 WELL AWARE THERE ARE DIFFERENCES IN THE NUMBERS. 8 THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN IDENTIFIES ORGANICS, FOR EXAMPLE, AS APPROXIMATELY 40 PERCENT OF THE 9 10 WASTESTREAM, THE ORGANIC FRACTION OF THE WASTESTREAM. WE ARE SEEING, THROUGH THE UPDATES IN 11 12 THE NUMBERS COMING IN THROUGH DPLA, WE ARE SEEING 13 SOME MODIFICATIONS TO THOSE NUMBERS, AND WE ARE SEEING CHANGES IN THE PERCENTAGE THAT IS ACTUALLY 14 15 BEING DIVERTED. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: ARE YOU INCLUDING 16 17 PAPER WHEN YOU SAY 40 PERCENT? MS. TRGOVCICH: NO. WELL, IN TERMS OF THE 18 ORGANIC FRACTION, THAT IS DISCUSSED IN THERE. IN 19 TERMS OF THIS PRIORITY, WE ARE NOT -- WE HAVE NOT 20 21 INCLUDED PAPER, AS YOU WILL NOTICE. WE LISTED ORGANICS, FOCUSED REDUCTION, AND FOCUSED REDUCTION 22

IN C&D. WE ARE PROPOSING -- AND I'M KIND OF MOVING

- 24 AHEAD, BUT I WANT TO RESPOND TO YOUR QUESTION --
- 25 THAT THE PAPER CATEGORY PRIORITY MATERIAL WOULD BE 42

1 IN THE ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT PHASE, NOT 2 IMMEDIATELY BROUGHT FORWARD AS A PRIORITY BECAUSE 3 AT THE DIRECTION OF THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT 4 COMMITTEE, WE HAVE BEGUN TO UNDERTAKE AN ASSESSMENT 5 OF WHY IS THE UTILIZATION RATE FALLING? WHAT ARE SOME POSSIBLE APPROACHES TO ADDRESS THAT? SO WE'RE 6 NOT QUITE READY, WE DON'T QUITE KNOW YET HOW WE 7 8 WOULD BE APPROACHING THAT ASPECT OF THE WASTE-9 STREAM. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I COULD GET INTO 10 THIS IN GREATER DETAIL, AND YOU PROBABLY DON'T WANT 11 12 ME TO BECAUSE YOU HAVE A PRESENTATION TO MAKE, BUT I HAVE VARIOUS BOARD NUMBERS IN FRONT OF ME WHICH 13 INDICATE THAT IT'S A LOT MORE COMPLICATED THAN JUST 14 15 SAYING ORGANICS AND C&D SHOULD BE THE FOCUS. FOR EXAMPLE, OF THE COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM, I SHOW 16 TOTAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE AS 3 17 18 PERCENT OF THE WASTESTREAM. PAPER IS A HUGE FRACTION. OF THE COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM, PLASTIC 19 IS 10 PERCENT, AND TOTAL PLASTICS COMBINED. 20 21 AND SO I JUST WANT TO THROW OUT A CAUTIONARY NOTE, THAT WE NOT IN THIS PROCESS OF 22 23 SAYING FOCUS ON THE EASY SOLUTIONS, THAT WE NOT

- JUMP TO CONCLUSIONS THAT THERE ARE EASY SOLUTIONS,
- THAT THERE'S A LOT OF FINE GRADATIONS HERE 43

```
1
      STATISTICALLY. THAT'S ONE POINT.
 2
                    THE SECOND POINT, OF COURSE, IS, AND
 3
      I MADE IT WHEN I MET WITH ALL THE EXECUTIVE STAFF,
 4
      IS THAT IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT --
      YOU'VE HEARD ME SAY THIS OVER AND OVER AGAIN, BUT
 5
      I'M GOING TO KEEP SAYING IT -- THE -- WHILE WE'RE
 6
      ALL FOCUSED ON A STATEWIDE ACHIEVEMENT OF 50
 7
 8
      PERCENT, THE MANDATE IS THAT JURISDICTION BY
      JURISDICTION WE ACHIEVE 50 PERCENT, SO WE NEED TO
 9
10
      CONTINUE TO REMEMBER THAT THE PRIORITY MATERIALS BY
      WAY OF GOAL NO. 2, SUPPORT LOCAL JURISDICTIONS, IS
11
12
      WHAT ARE THEIR MATERIALS THAT THEY'VE IDENTIFIED IN
      THEIR SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENTS FOR
13
      GETTING TO 50 PERCENT. AND THAT'S -- YOU KNOW, IN
14
15
      TERMS OF THE ACTUAL LEGAL MANDATE THAT THE
      LEGISLATURE HAS GIVEN US, THAT IS OUR RESPONSI-
16
17
      BILITY.
18
               MS. TRGOVCICH: AND I THINK WE CERTAINLY
      AGREE WITH THAT. AND MAYBE I'LL RESPOND IN A
19
      COUPLE OF WAYS. FIRST, THE REASON WE SAY FOCUSED
20
21
      REDUCTION IS BECAUSE WE FEEL THAT WE NEED TO TARGET
      OUR EFFORTS. A LOT OF THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN
22
23
      FOCUSES ON THE END USE OF THE ORGANIC FRACTION OF
```

24	THE	WASTESTR	REAM,	LOOK	ING	ΑT	IN	THE	LIG	HT	OF	BEING	Α
25	COMP	OSTABLE	MATE	RIAL	AND 44	BE	ING	ABL	ΕT	O M	IOVE	THAT	

INTO THE MARKETPLACE.

1

2 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: IT'S HARD TO 3 QUESTION THAT ONE. THAT ONE'S PRETTY CLEAR, CLEAR 4 THAT IT'S A VERY HIGH PRIORITY AND HAS GOT TO BE. 5 MS. TRGOVCICH: RIGHT. AND WHAT WE WOULD WANT TO LOOK AT THERE IN BRINGING THIS CROSS-6 7 FUNCTIONAL TEAM TOGETHER IS LOOK AT DO WE WANT TO 8 TARGET GENERATION SOURCES THERE. SO WE'RE LOOKING AT BOTH THE USE ON THE BACK END AS WELL AS THE 9 10 FRONT-END GENERATION POINT. IN TERMS OF THE C&D AREA, THAT WORK 11 12 GROUP WOULD NEED TO LOOK IN A CROSS-FUNCTIONAL WAY 13 AT THE CATEGORY OF C&D AND BE ABLE TO COME TO THAT, YOU KNOW, RECOMMENDATION AS WELL. WHAT ARE THE 14 SPECIFIC AREAS THAT WE WANT TO FOCUS IN. 15 I DON'T THINK IT'S A MATTER OF WHAT 16 ARE THE EASY THINGS TO GET TO, BUT MAYBE I'LL COME 17 BACK TO THE CRITERIA. WE'RE LOOKING BOTH IN TERMS 18 19 OF A PERCENTAGE OF THE WASTESTREAM THAT IT 20 COMPRISES, RECOGNIZING THAT A FOCUSED DIRECTION WOULD BE TARGETING A SMALLER FRACTION OF THE 21 22 WASTESTREAM. WE'RE LOOKING AT COMMODITIES THAT

MAY	
23	HAVE SPECIAL POTENTIAL, SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS THAT
24	WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO ASSIST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF
25	HANDLING PRACTICES FOR. WE'RE LOOKING AT THE 45

- 1 ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO CREATE OR ENHANCE MARKETS.
- 2 THIS SHOULD ALL SOUND VERY FAMILIAR
- 3 TO YOU BECAUSE THESE WERE YOUR WORDS IN SELECTING
- 4 THESE PRIORITY MATERIALS, AND THEY'RE THE SAME
- 5 WORDS THAT WE HEARD.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AMAZING HOW QUICK YOU
- 7 FORGET.
- 8 MS. TRGOVCICH: THE SAME WORDS THAT YOU
- 9 HEARD THROUGH THE 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE, AS WELL AS
- 10 THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN. AND
- 11 WE'RE --
- 12 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I SAID THOSE
- 13 THINGS, BUT I ALSO SAID WE'RE NOT THROWING ANYTHING
- 14 OVERBOARD. I'LL CONTINUE TO SAY THAT.
- 15 MS. TRGOVCICH: CORRECT. I DON'T THINK
- 16 NECESSARILY -- WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE IS AN
- 17 ABILITY TO PRIORITIZE. I THINK WHAT RALPH SAID
- 18 EARLIER AND WHAT WE'RE COMING FORWARD TO YOU IN
- 19 SAYING AND WHAT KEITH SAID IS WITH A HUNDRED ONE
- 20 PROGRAMS AND 330 STAFF TO IMPLEMENT THOSE PROGRAMS,
- 21 THAT MAKES US VERY THIN. WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IS
- 22 A WAY TO PRIORITIZE OUR EFFORTS AND REALLY ACHIEVE
- 23 SOME REAL RESULTS.
- 24 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WHEN I SAID

25 ANYTHING OVERBOARD, I MEANT MATERIAL TYPES. I 46

```
SHOULD HAVE BEEN MORE SPECIFIC.
 1
 2
               MS. TRGOVCICH: I HAD A FEW IN MIND.
 3
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M SURE YOU DO,
      BUT TELL IT TO THE JURISDICTION THAT HAS MADE THAT
 4
      THE HIGHEST PRIORITY AND WE APPROVED THEIR SRRE
 5
 6
      WITH THAT MATERIAL IN IT.
 7
               MS. TRGOVCICH: ABSOLUTELY. SO ANYWAY, SO
      WE WERE LOOKING AT THOSE CRITERIA, AND THOSE WERE
 8
      YOUR WORDS IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTING THIS.
 9
                     IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO LOOK AT A
10
11
      TARGETED ACHIEVEMENT, WHAT WE WOULD BE ASKING THE
      WORK GROUPS TO DO IS TO LOOK AT THE VARIOUS
12
      DOCUMENTS THAT THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED, TO WORK WITH
13
      THE PLANNING DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE COME IN, AND SAY
14
      WHERE HAVE WE COME TO DATE? WE TARGETED A 75-
15
      PERCENT ORGANICS DIVERSION RATE IN TERMS OF THE
16
      COMPOSTABLE FRACTION, SO HOW CLOSE ARE WE THERE.
17
      WHAT MORE NEEDS TO BE DONE IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE
18
19
      TO ACHIEVE AN ACTUAL PERCENTAGE DIVERSION RATE THAT
20
      WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT TARGETING. AND THAT MAY TIE
      BACK AS WELL TO THE POINTS OF GENERATION.
21
22
      MAY LIKELY SEE THIS FOCUSED WORK GROUP TIEING BACK
      TO THE GENERATION POINT TARGETED DIVERSION LEVELS.
23
```

SO WE MAY WANT TO BE ABLE TO GET THAT

25 SPECIFIC, AND THE PLAN GOES INTO THAT. THE MARKET 47

1 DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND SUBSEQUENT DOCUMENTS TIES BACK 2 TO THE YARD WASTE FRACTION OF THE WASTESTREAM AND 3 OTHER ELEMENTS, THE FOOD FRACTION OF THE WASTE-4 STREAM. AND SO WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT BUILDING ON 5 THAT. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: WOULD IT BE FAIR TO 6 7 CHARACTERIZE, THOUGH, MR. CHESBRO RAISED AND PASSED 8 OUT SOME RECENT INFORMATION. I HAVEN'T GOT THIS 9 BEFORE ME AND I'M HOPING WE CAN GET A COPY MADE. 10 BUT BETWEEN YOU AND JUDY, YOU'VE HAD, I ASSUME, SIGNIFICANT DISCUSSION OVER WHAT JUDY'S SHOP IS 11 12 SEEING IN THE WAY OF WHAT ORGANICS, JUST SAY, WOULD 13 MEAN IN TERMS OF IDENTIFIED PROGRAMS AND THE MARKET DIMENSION OF THAT SO THAT WE WILL HAVE SOME 14 15 STATISTICAL OR PERCENTILE DISCUSSION OF THESE PRIORITIES. IN OTHER WORDS, ORGANICS, HERE'S WHAT 16 WE'RE SEEING IN THE FIELD, HERE'S WHAT LOCAL 17 ASSISTANCE PLANS CALL FOR, HERE'S WHAT WE'RE SEEING 18 IN C&D, HERE'S WHAT -- ARE WE GOING TO GET THAT 19 20 KIND OF BACKUP? 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, I'D LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT. CAREN AND I AND OUR STAFFS HAVE BEEN 22

TALKING VERY FREQUENTLY RECENTLY BECAUSE WE DO HAVE

24	SOME MORE	E UP-	ro-date	DATA	A. AS	YOU	KNO	, WC	THE	BOAF	RD
25	INVESTED	SOME	ENERGY	AND	RESOU	RCES	IN	DEV	/ELOI	PING	Α

1 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION METHOD AND DATABASE, AND WE 2 HAVE THE RESULTS OF THAT, AS WELL AS THE ANNUAL 3 REPORT INFORMATION COMING IN IN OUR DISPOSAL 4 REPORTING TONNAGES. 5 WHEN THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN WAS BEING DEVELOPED EARLY ON AND EVEN IN UPDATES, IT 6 7 WAS ALWAYS ENVISIONED THAT WE WOULD UPDATE THOSE NUMBERS AND THOSE TARGETS DEPENDING ON THE REAL DATA THAT WE GET IN OVER TIME. AND WE'RE AT A 10 POINT WHERE WE'RE GOING TO BE WORKING ON LOOKING AT OUR ORIGINAL ASSUMPTIONS IN THE PLAN AND LOOK TO 11 12 SEE HOW OUR NUMBERS ARE TYING OUT. BUT THE IDEA THAT CAREN ALLUDED TO IS THAT WE WOULD BE WORKING 13 TOGETHER, AND WE ARE WORKING TOGETHER TO LOOK AT 14 15 WHERE OUR GENERATORS ARE AND TARGET THOSE LARGE GENERATORS, AND IT WOULD LINK BETWEEN TARGETING THE 16 APPROPRIATE MATERIAL TYPES WHERE THEY'RE LOCATED 17 18 AND BY WHAT SECTOR. MS. TRGOVCICH: I THINK WHAT YOU ARE GOING 19 TO SEE AS SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT HERE IS THAT CURRENTLY 20 21 WITH THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN, THE MARKET --WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION 22 23 HAS THE LEAD FOR IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN. AND SO

24	WHAT	WE H	AVE P	RINCIPALLY	OUR	STAFF	FROM	THE
25	DIVISION	N THA	T ARE	SUPPORTING	3 THE	ACTIV	/ITIES	THAT
				<i>4</i> Q				

1 CAME OUT OF THE PLAN, AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF 2 ACTIVITIES THAT THEN HAVE STAFF ASSIGNED TO THEM IN 3 OTHER DIVISIONS, IN DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL 4 ASSISTANCE, IN PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION. 5 AND THEY'RE MORE CONSIDERED SUPPORT IN TERMS OF 6 THOSE ACTIVITIES. 7 WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE IS A 8 CHANGE. IT WOULD NO LONGER BE THE DIVISION THAT HAS THE LEAD; IT WOULD BE A SINGLE EXECUTIVE STAFF 9 MEMBER. IT MAY BE DOROTHY; IT MAY BE JUDY; IT MAY 10 BE MYSELF; IT MAY BE JOHN WHO WOULD HAVE THE LEAD 11 12 STAFF IN THE VARIOUS DIVISIONS WORKING TOGETHER. AND SO YOU WILL SEE FAR MORE -- IT WILL BECOME AN 13 INTEGRAL ELEMENT OF EACH OF THE DIVISIONS. SO IT 14 15 WOULD NO LONGER BE A CENTRAL LEAD IN A SINGLE DIVISION, BUT IT WOULD BE ALL OF THE DIVISIONS 16 WORKING TOGETHER. SO THAT KIND OF COORDINATION 17 18 WILL BE A NATURAL PART OF THE PROCESS; WHEREAS, NOW WE SEEK OUT THAT INFORMATION. AND WHEN WE HIT A 19 ROADBLOCK, WHEN WE COME INTO A SETTING LIKE THIS 20 21 AND WE HAVE ONE NUMBER FROM THE MARKETS DIVISION AND JUDY HAS A NUMBER, AND YOU ALL TELL US GO BACK 22

AND FIX THAT, WHAT'S THE REAL NUMBER, THAT WILL BE

24 A PART OF WHAT WE DO ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS.

25 MR. CHANDLER: LET ME JUST KEEP MOVING

1 THROUGH THESE SO WE CAN HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE 2 DISCUSSION ON THE CRITERIA AND THE BACKGROUND AS TO 3 HOW WE SELECTED SOME OF THESE PRIORITY AREAS AND MOVE TO CALL ON DOROTHY NEXT TO DISCUSS IMPROVED 4 5 LEA PERFORMANCE. MS. RICE: THANK YOU. AND GOOD MORNING, 6 BOARD MEMBERS, STAFF, AUDIENCE. IN LOOKING AT GOAL 7 8 3 PRINCIPALLY WITHIN YOUR STRATEGIC PLAN, OUR STRATEGIC PLAN, WE THOUGHT, AS EXECUTIVE STAFF, 9 ABOUT WHAT THAT GOAL SAYS, THE DESIRE TO ENSURE 10 COMPLIANCE WITH WASTE MANAGEMENT STATUTES AND 11 12 REGULATIONS WHILE MAXIMIZING PROTECTION OF PUBLIC 13 HEALTH, SAFETY, AND THE ENVIRONMENT, AND ALSO AT 14 THE OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES UNDER THAT GOAL WHICH 15 SPEAK TO SUCH THINGS AS PREVENTING HARMFUL POLLUTION, IMPROVING OUR WASTE MANAGEMENT 16 INFRASTRUCTURE, THE FACILITIES AND SERVICES THAT 17 ARE OFFERED, CLEANING UP PAST PROBLEMS THAT EXIST 18 IN CALIFORNIA, ETC., THOSE KINDS OF THEMES 19 20 THROUGHOUT THE BOARD PROGRAMS. 21 IN LOOKING AT THAT, IT'S CERTAINLY NOTABLE THAT WITH A FEW EXCEPTIONS, AND THERE ARE 22

SOME EXCEPTIONS, THE MAJORITY OF ACTIONS CAN EFFECT

24	IMPH	ROVEMI	ENT A	AS RE	EGARDS	THIS	GOAL	ARE	UN	IDERT	AKEN	ВУ
25	THE	LEA,	THE	LOCA	AL ENFO	_	ENT A	GENCY	<u>,</u>	NOT	BOARI)

1

STAFF DIRECTLY.

2 SIMILAR TO DIVERSION ASSISTANCE AND 3 THE EFFORTS THAT WE HAVE TO SUPPORT THOSE 4 ACTIVITIES THAT JUDY WILL SPEAK TO IN A MOMENT, WE DO NOT IN MOST CASES DO THE PROGRAMS THAT RESULT IN 5 THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OR THE THINGS THAT WE 6 7 WANT TO SEE HAPPEN. WE ASSIST, WE OVERSEE, WE 8 REVIEW, WE EVALUATE, WE GRADE, WE CERTIFY, WE 9 TRAIN, AND IN MANY IMPORTANT INSTANCES WE APPROVE 10 THE PRODUCT OF THE LEA BY STAFF LEVEL OR AT BOARD 11 ACTION. 12 SO IN LOOKING AT THAT, WE DISCUSSED THAT THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAY FOR THE BOARD TO 13 ACHIEVE THE GOAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, 14 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, ETC., IS THROUGH THE LEA. 15 AND THIS IS HOW WE ARRIVED AT THE PRIORITY FOCUS 16 17 AREA OF IMPROVE LEA PERFORMANCE AS ONE WAY TO CAPTURE HOW BEST WE MIGHT EFFECT THAT GOAL, THOSE 18 OUTCOMES THAT WE WANT TO SEE. 19 20 SOME CRITERIA THAT WE THOUGHT ABOUT 21 IN ARRIVING AT THAT, OTHER THAN KIND OF THE GENERAL TIRADE THAT I JUST WENT THROUGH, IS THINKING ABOUT 22 23 HOW CAN WE MOST DIRECTLY EFFECT IMPROVEMENTS IN THE

24	ENVIRONME	ENT THRO	DUGH	OUR	EFFOR	RTS?	HC	WC	CAN	WE	MOST
25	DIRECTLY	EFFECT	IMPF	ROVE	MENTS	IN	THE	WA	STE		
				52							

1 MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE, THE QUALITY OF THE 2 FACILITIES; IN OTHER WORDS, THE TYPES OF FACILITIES 3 THAT WE WANT TO SEE. THROUGH WHAT EFFORTS COULD WE MOST LIKELY SEE DEMONSTRABLE IMPROVEMENTS IN 4 CALIFORNIA? AND THROUGH WHAT EFFORTS COULD WE SEE 5 THE DEMONSTRABLE IMPROVEMENT IN A REASONABLY SHORT 6 7 TIME FRAME, SOMETHING THAT WE COULD SEE WITHIN THE 8 NEXT FEW YEARS? IN LOOKING AT ALL OF THOSE FACTORS, 9 10 WE ARRIVED AT THE PRIORITY AREA OF IMPROVING LEA PERFORMANCE AND DO FEEL THAT BY FOCUSING THE 11 12 EFFORTS OF SOME OF THE BOARD THAT LOOK AT THOSE THINGS AT THAT AREA, WE COULD ACHIEVE RESULTS THAT 13 WOULD DIRECTLY EFFECT ACHIEVEMENT OF THE GOAL THAT 14 YOU'VE LAID OUT IN GOAL 3 OF YOUR STRATEGIC PLAN. 15 WHAT KINDS OF THINGS WOULD COME UNDER 16 A FOCUS ON SUCH A PRIORITY? WE SEE SUCH THINGS AS 17 ENHANCED TRAINING, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, IMPROVED 18 DEVELOPMENT OF POLICY, PROCEDURES, AND GETTING OUT 19 THE WORD ON THOSE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, 20 21 RETOOLING OUR EVALUATION PROCESS OF THE LEA SO THAT IT IS FAIR, BUT FIRM AND GETS RESULTS, IMPROVEMENT 22 23 OF OUR INFORMATION SERVICES AT THE BOARD SO THAT WE

- 24 HAVE GOOD INFORMATION ON WHAT THE FACILITIES ARE,
- THE STATUS OF INSPECTIONS, ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS,

1 ETC., AND A VERY FOCUSED REVIEW OF THE WORK OF THE 2 LEA TO ASSIST THEM IN IMPROVING AND IN SUPPORTING 3 THE DECISION MAKING OF THIS BOARD. 4 AND I'M CERTAINLY GUIDED AND ASSISTED 5 BY A LOT OF THE DISCUSSION WE HAD YESTERDAY ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TITLE 27 REGULATIONS AND AB 6 1220, WHAT THAT MEANS TO THIS BOARD AND THE ROLE 7 THAT THE LEA PLAYS IN THAT, AND TAKE YOUR WORDS, ALL OF YOU, VERY MUCH TO HEART ON HOW IMPORTANT IT 10 IS THAT WE IMPROVE LEA PERFORMANCE TO ACHIEVE BOARD AND STATE OBJECTIVES FOR OUR PROGRAMS. 11 12 WHAT ARE SOME OF THE THINGS THAT I WOULD EXPECT TO SEE, THAT WE WOULD EXPECT TO SEE 13 BY FOCUSING ON IMPROVING LEA PERFORMANCE AS A 14 15 PRIORITY? ULTIMATELY IMPROVEMENT IN THE QUALITY OF PERMIT SUBMITTALS THAT YOU SEE BEFORE YOU MONTH 16 AFTER MONTH WITH, I ASSUME, AN ULTIMATE GOAL OF 17 HAVING EVERY PERMIT ACCURATELY REFLECT OPERATIONS 18 AT THAT FACILITY AS A MORE LONG-TERM GOAL, UPDATING 19 20 ALL THE OLD PERMITS, AND ON A CONTINUAL BASIS 21 KEEPING PERMITS UP-TO-DATE AND ACCURATE. AN INCREASE IN APPROPRIATE ENFORCEMENT AT THE LOCAL 22

LEVEL WITH A GOAL THAT VIOLATIONS BE MINIMIZED,

- 24 REDUCED, ELIMINATED, AND THAT WE SEE IMPROVEMENTS
- ON THE INVENTORY, WHICH, OF COURSE, WE'RE ALREADY

1	SEEING.
2	LEA'S GETTING OFF CORRECTIVE WORK
3	PLANS THROUGH THE EVALUATION PROCESS, ACTUALLY
4	SEEING IMPROVEMENTS IN THEIR PERFORMANCE AS A
5	RESULT OF OUR DAY-TO-DAY EFFORTS TO PROVIDE THE
6	TOOLS THAT THEY NEED TO IMPROVE AND AN INCREASE AND
7	AN IMPROVEMENT IN OUR ABILITY TO PERMIT AND GET
8	ON-LINE DIVERSION FACILITIES TO ASSIST IN
9	ACHIEVEMENT OF THE 50-PERCENT MANDATE AND PERHAPS
10	JUST AS IMPORTANT AS GETTING THE PERMITS ISSUED
11	THROUGH OUR EFFORTS AND THOSE OF THE LEA, HAVING A
12	REGULATORY STRUCTURE AND CLARITY ABOUT THAT
13	STRUCTURE THAT ENABLES THOSE FACILITIES TO
14	OPTIMALLY SUCCEED.
15	WE'VE HAD A NUMBER OF ISSUES COME UP
16	REGARDING CHIPPING AND GRINDING OPERATIONS, COMPOST
17	FACILITIES WHERE THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK MAY NOT
18	ULTIMATELY SUPPORT THE SUCCESS OF THOSE OPERATIONS.
19	WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT WHY, WITH THE
20	LEA AS A PARTNER, AND HELP SOLVE THOSE PROBLEMS.
21	SO THAT IS SOME OF THE THINKING THAT FOR US WENT
22	INTO WHY WE PLACED IMPROVING LEA PERFORMANCE AS A
23	PRIORITY FOCUS FOR ACHIEVEMENT OF YOUR STRATEGIES

- 24 UNDER THE STRATEGIC PLAN.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, MUCH 55

1	OF THE DISCUSSION WE'VE BEEN HAVING RECENTLY ABOUT
2	IMPROVING LEA PERFORMANCE AT THE BOARD HAS FOCUSED
3	AROUND THE PERMIT PROCESS IN QUESTION. I JUST
4	WANTED TO SAY, AND I'M GLAD THAT YOU MENTIONED IT,
5	DOROTHY, THAT THE QUESTION OF COMPLIANCE WITH STATE
6	STANDARDS AND ENFORCEMENT PROCESSES ARE EQUALLY AS
7	IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF ACHIEVING THE GOAL. I
8	FREQUENTLY FEEL THAT WE WIND UP FOCUSING ON, WITH
9	THE PERMITS THAT DO COME BEFORE US, AT LEAST THE
10	RENEWAL OR UPDATES, JUST TRYING TO REFLECT THE
11	CONDITIONS THAT EXIST ON THE GROUND AS OPPOSED TO
12	BRINGING THAT PERMIT UP TO STATE STANDARDS.
13	AND SO I THINK FAR MORE IMPORTANT
14	THAN BEING CORRECT IN A TECHNICAL AND PAPERWORK
15	SENSE IS THE ACTUAL CONDITIONS ON THE GROUND. IS
16	THAT FACILITY COMPLYING WITH STATE STANDARDS? AND
17	SO I THINK THAT WHOLE COMPLIANCE SIDE NEEDS AT
18	LEAST AS MUCH FOCUS, EVEN THOUGH WE'VE BEEN
19	SPENDING ALL OUR TIME TALKING ABOUT THE PERMITS
20	THAT COME TO US AND HOW WE DO THAT, I THINK WE
21	REALLY NEED TO MAINTAIN THAT FOCUS. AND I HOPE
22	THAT THE LEA, IMPROVING THE LEA PERFORMANCE
23	PRIORITY HAS THAT BALANCE TO IT, THAT WE GIVE AT

- 24 LEAST AS MUCH EFFORT TO THAT BECAUSE THAT
- 25 ULTIMATELY IS -- AGAIN, GOING BACK TO THE STRATEGIC

- 1 PLAN GOAL, IT'S TO PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT; IT'S
- 2 NOT TO HAVE PEOPLE IN COMPLIANCE IN A PAPERWORK
- 3 SENSE. IT'S TO HAVE THE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTED AND
- 4 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY.
- 5 MS. RICE: TO RESPOND, THAT IS ABSOLUTELY
- 6 WHAT'S INTENDED. WHEN YOU SAY IMPROVE LEA
- 7 PERFORMANCE, WE MEAN IMPROVE ALL ASPECTS OF HOW THE
- 8 LEA PERFORMS THE DUTIES FOR WHICH THEY ARE
- 9 CERTIFIED. AND PERMITTING IS JUST ONE PIECE. THE
- 10 INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT FUNCTION IS VERY
- 11 IMPORTANT, ARGUABLY MORE IMPORTANT, SO THERE WAS NO
- 12 INTENT TO PUT MORE FOCUS ON PERMITS. WE JUST
- 13 HAPPENED TO HAVE A LENGTHY DISCUSSION ABOUT IT
- 14 YESTERDAY, BUT NOT TO DEVALUE THE OTHER SIDE OF THE
- 15 COIN. THANKS.
- MR. CHANDLER: IF THERE'S NO MORE
- 17 QUESTIONS FOR DOROTHY, I'D LIKE TO FINALLY CALL ON
- JUDY TO DISCUSS THE LAST PRIORITY AREA, THAT BEING
- 19 IMPROVE LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND
- 20 DIVERSION.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: I THINK I'LL STAND UP TOO.
- 22 I KNOW YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE ME ANY BETTER,
- 23 CONSIDERING MY HEIGHT, BUT YOU'LL PROBABLY BE ABLE

- TO HEAR ME A LITTLE BIT BETTER.
- THE NEXT STRATEGY THAT THE EXECUTIVE 57

1	STAFF FELT ROSE TO THIS HIGH PRIORITY LEVEL WE CALL
2	IMPROVED LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND DIVER-
3	SION. I'M GOING TO BRIEFLY COVER THE FOLLOWING
4	FOUR QUESTIONS. FIRST OF ALL, WHAT IS IMPROVING
5	LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE? I'M GOING TO DEFINE
6	THIS A LITTLE BIT. WHY IS THIS A PRIORITY? WHY WE
7	FELT IT ROSE TO THIS LEVEL AT THIS TIME? AND WHAT
8	WE WOULD EXPECT TO SEE IF THIS HAPPENED? AND WHAT
9	ARE SOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES TO KNOW WE HAVE BEEN
10	SUCCESSFUL IN IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGY?
11	FIRST, WHAT IS IMPROVING LOCAL
12	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE AND DIVERSION? THROUGH THE
13	BOARD PROVIDING APPROPRIATE INCENTIVES, INCLUDING
14	FINANCIAL AND COMPLIANCE OR OVERSIGHT AND
15	ASSISTANCE, SUCH AS THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM
16	APPROACH FOR ELIMINATING BARRIERS TO THE COLLECTION
17	OF MATERIALS FOR MARKET, THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF
18	JURISDICTIONS POSSIBLE TO ACHIEVE 50 PERCENT.
19	THEY DO THIS BY SELECTING OPTIONS
20	THAT MAXIMIZE DIVERSION POTENTIAL. THEY SELECT
21	MOST EFFICIENT MEANS OF REACHING THE GOALS, AND
22	THEY DO SO AT THE LOWEST COST POSSIBLE TO ENSURE
23	ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY OF THE PROGRAMS.

24		WI	HY IS	THIS	A	PRIOR	TY?	WELL,	WE	VE
25	HEARD	MENTIONED	TODAY	AND	WE	KNOW	THIS,	THAT	IN	LAW

- 1 EVERY JURISDICTION IS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE 50
- 2 PERCENT. AND THE BOARD'S ROLE IS AND HAS BEEN TO
- 3 OVERSEE AND FACILITATE LOCAL PROGRESS IN THIS
- 4 EFFORT. AND THIS FOCUS ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT
- 5 PRODUCES RESULTS.
- 6 YOU ARE ALL AWARE OF THE UPWARD
- 7 TRENDING GRAPH THAT SHOWS WE'RE AT APPROXIMATELY
- 8 30-PERCENT DISPOSAL REDUCTION ON A STATEWIDE BASIS.
- 9 WE HAVE ALSO CALCULATED A HUNDRED 90 JURISDICTIONS
- 10 ANNUAL REPORT NUMBERS TO DATE, AND 165 OF THEM ARE
- 11 AT 25 PERCENT OR BETTER. SO WE SEEM TO BE REACHING
- 12 OUR TARGETS THROUGH A LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOCUS.
- 13 ALSO, LOCAL GOVERNMENT HAS REQUESTED
- 14 THIS TO BE A PRIORITY. AS THE BOARD DEVELOPED THE
- 15 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE, THE STRATEGIC PLAN, AND THE
- 16 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN, WE HEARD OVER AND OVER FROM
- 17 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS THAT THEY WANTED A FOCUS ON THEM
- 18 AS THE ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR ACHIEVING THE
- 19 MANDATE.
- 20 BY WORKING THROUGH LOCALS, WE ALSO
- 21 HAVE A GREATER POTENTIAL TO LEVERAGE OUR FEW
- 22 RESOURCES. AND I'D LIKE TO STRESS THIS POINT.
- 23 EVEN IF THE LAW DID NOT REQUIRE EACH LOCAL

24	GOVERNMEN	IT TO) GET	ТО	50	PEF	RCENT,	WE	: WOULD	NI	EED	ТО
25	LEVERAGE	OUR	FEW	RESC	OURC	CES	HERE	IN	ORDER		ANI)
					59							

1	WORK THROUGH OUR LOCAL PARTNERS.
2	WORKING THROUGH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
3	WILL HELP US PROMOTE COST-EFFECTIVE OPTIONS MINDFUL
4	OF RATEPAYERS' NEEDS. IF WE WANT TO ACHIEVE
5	ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY, AND WE HAVE STATED THAT WE
6	DO, WE MUST DO SO WITH AN EYE TOWARDS THE CONSUMER
7	NEEDS, AND THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE THE FINGER ON
8	THE PULSE IN THIS AREA.
9	WHAT WOULD WE EXPECT TO SEE IF WE
10	IMPLEMENT THE STRATEGY? MORE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
11	IMPLEMENTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS, MORE EFFECTIVE
12	DIVERSION PROGRAMS SUCH THAT MATERIALS ARE
13	DELIVERED TO VIABLE END USES, MORE REGIONAL
14	COOPERATION AND ECONOMIES OF SCALE, MORE
15	JURISDICTIONS MEETING 50 PERCENT, AND EXPANSION OF
16	THE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING INFRASTRUCTURE, AND
17	MAINTENANCE OF SUFFICIENT LANDFILL CAPACITY.
18	AND WHAT ARE SOME OF THE PERFORMANCE
19	MEASURES TO KNOW WE HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN
20	IMPLEMENTING THE STRATEGY? AN INCREASE IN THE
21	NUMBER OF DIVERSION PROGRAMS, AN INCREASE IN THE
22	NUMBER OF REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENTS, AN INCREASED
23	NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS MEETING 50 PERCENT, AN

24	INCREASE	IN T	HE NUMBER	OF C	OLLEC	TION	AND	PROCESSING
25	FACILITI	ES BY	JURISDIC		AND	PERHA	APS I	MOST

1 IMPORTANTLY AN ANNUAL REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF TONS DISPOSED BY JURISDICTION. 2 3 THAT CONCLUDES MY UPDATE ON THIS 4 PARTICULAR STRATEGY. 5 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: JUST OBSERVATION. I THINK ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT'S GOING TO BE OF 6 INTEREST TO ME IS, AND WHETHER YOU WILL BE ABLE TO 7 8 DEVELOP THIS INFORMATION, YOU SAID, WELL, ONE MEASURE WOULD BE THE NUMBER OF, SAY, PROCESSING 9 FACILITIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE. THAT MAY OR MAY NOT 10 BE THE CASE. IN OTHER WORDS, IN CERTAIN AREAS I'M 11 12 NOT SURE WE NEED MORE PROCESSING FACILITIES. WE NEED MORE COORDINATION OR UTILIZATION OF THE 13 FACILITY TO OPERATE AT A HIGHER OPERATING 14 15 EFFICIENCY. AND WERE MATERIAL TO BE ABLE TO GO THROUGH THERE, WE WOULD AVOID POSSIBLY THE NEED FOR 16 MORE CAPITALIZATION, WHICH IS THE HEAVY WEIGHT TO 17 18 CARRY IN AB 939. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I'VE WONDERED, 19 AND I SURE WISH WE COULD ANSWER THIS QUESTION, IS 20 21 WHAT CAPACITY WE HAVE IN PLACE TODAY IN CALIFORNIA TO PROCESS HOW MANY TONS. BECAUSE SOMETIMES I 22

THINK WE'RE VERY CLOSE TO HAVING THE CAPACITY TO

- 24 REACH 50 PERCENT WERE IT AVAILABLE IN THE
- 25 COLLECTION SYSTEM. IN OTHER WORDS, THE MRF'S. 61

1 THERE ARE CERTAIN COMPOST CAPACITY OR MULCH 2 CAPACITY AND MAYBE WE'RE NOT TALKING SO MUCH ABOUT 3 THAT PHASE OF 939. 4 I HAPPEN TO BELIEVE MUCH OF THE 5 CAPITALIZATION IS IN PLACE, AND WE'RE TALKING MORE ABOUT REFINING AND MAKING BETTER UTILIZATION OF 6 WHAT HAS BEEN BUILT. AND I'M HOPING WE CAN, 7 THROUGH YOUR EFFORTS, HELP PINPOINT ON A REGIONAL BASIS WHERE THOSE CAPACITIES EXIST AND WHAT IT 10 WOULD TAKE TO MOVE MATERIAL THROUGH THEM. MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, I AGREE. AND I 11 12 THINK THAT IF -- YOU HEARD ME DESCRIBE REGIONAL COOPERATION. I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE KEY AREAS 13 THAT WE NEED TO TARGET. WE DISCUSSED THAT IN TERMS 14 15 OF THE 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE. WE HEARD FROM OUR CONSTITUENTS WITH REGARD TO THAT IN THE LOCAL 16 ASSISTANCE PLAN, IN THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN, 17 AND ALL OUR OUTREACH EFFORTS, THAT ONE OF THE KEY 18 AREAS THAT WE CAN HELP IN IS FACILITATING REGIONAL 19 COOPERATION BECAUSE I THINK THAT GETS TO THE HEART 20 21 OF WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IS UTILIZING THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT WE HAVE THERE, SEEING IF THERE 22 23 IS ANY LACKING INFRASTRUCTURE OBVIOUSLY, BUT THEN

- MOST EFFICIENTLY UTILIZATION OF WHAT'S THERE. SO I
- 25 AGREE.

1 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AND THE OTHER PART IS 2 THE STRATEGIC PARTNERING. WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED --3 MY OFFICE HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS 4 IN TRYING TO BE OUT IN THE FIELD TRYING TO UNDER-STAND WHAT ARE SOME OF THE STRATEGIC ISSUES, SAY, 5 FACING GREEN WASTE, OR WHY ISN'T IT BEING COLLECTED 6 7 OR MARKETED AT THE LEVEL THAT IT COULD BE. AND IT'S VERY REVEALING WHEN YOU GET OUT THERE AND YOU'RE INTERACTING. SOME OF IT IS POLITICAL; SOME OF IT IS PRICE SENSITIVE, BUT IT'S VERY SPECIFIC TO 10 A LOCAL JURISDICTION. 11 12 IT DOES NOT LEND ITSELF TO A BROADBRUSH EFFORT, AND IT WILL ONLY --13 14 PARTNERING WILL ONLY BE SIGNIFICANT IF WE CAN 15 IDENTIFY WHAT'S IN IT FOR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, WHAT'S IN IT FOR THE BOARD, AND THAT EVERYONE FEELS 16 17 THAT THERE'S SOMETHING TO BE GAINED BY PARTNERING 18 UP. I DO BELIEVE THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT 19 20 FINANCIAL RESOURCES IN CERTAIN AREAS OF THIS STATE 21 THAT ARE BEING UNDERDEPLOYED; THAT IF BOARD RESOURCES WERE LINKED WITH THE LOCAL RESOURCES, THE 22 23 MONEY PROBLEM WOULD NOT BE SO -- WHICH APPEARS

- 24 SIGNIFICANT, MAY BE LESS SO IN FACT IN TERMS OF
- 25 INCREASED DIVERSION.

1 MS. FRIEDMAN: YEAH. I THINK THAT I KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST YESTERDAY I GOT YET ANOTHER 2 LETTER IN FROM A GROUP OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN A 3 REGION IN CENTRAL CALIFORNIA WHO ARE ASKING FOR 4 SOME BOARD ASSISTANCE IN WORKING WITH THEIR SPECIAL 5 б DISTRICTS. EVERY DAY I GET MORE REQUESTS ALONG 7 THESE LINES WHICH ARE SPECIFIC TO THAT GROUP OF 8 JURISDICTIONS OR REGION AND INVOLVE SOMETIMES 9 POLITICAL ISSUES, SOMETIMES ECONOMIC, SOMETIMES WHATEVER, YOU KNOW, BOUNDARIES, POLITICAL 10 BOUNDARIES, WHATEVER, AND THERE'S A REQUEST OF US 11 12 ON A DAILY BASIS TO SEE WHERE WE CAN FACILITATE 13 SOLUTIONS. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AND THIS IS WHY I 14 THINK, IF WE GET THIS RIGHT ON STRATEGIC 15 PARTNERING, THE BOARD ITSELF CAN PLAY A MORE -- YOU 16 17 KNOW, I BELIEVE THAT WE AS BOARD MEMBERS, OUR STAFFS AT THE BOARD, THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE, CAN PLAY 18 19 A MORE CRITICAL ROLE IN. AS YOU IDENTIFY THESE 20 BARRIERS, THERE MAY BE CERTAIN CASES WHERE BOARD PARTICIPATION, BOARD INVOLVEMENT COULD MAKE A 21 22 CRITICAL DIFFERENCE IN SOME OF THOSE ENTANGLEMENTS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE PREVENT PROGRESS. 23

MS. FRIEDMAN: ABSOLUTELY.

25

BOARD MEMBER JONES: I THINK THE KEY TO

```
THAT, THOUGH, IS FOCUS. I MEAN WHAT WE'RE TALKING
 1
 2
      ABOUT TODAY IS FOCUSING OUR EFFORTS. I THINK
 3
      I'M -- I'VE BEEN SILENT ALL MORNING LISTENING TO
      EVERYBODY TALK. AND I THINK THAT PEOPLE -- AND I
 4
      THINK PEOPLE DO UNDERSTAND, BUT WE DON'T RECYCLE
 5
 б
      ANYTHING HERE. I MEAN WE'RE NOT OUT DOING THIS
 7
      STUFF. WE'RE PROVIDING THE INCENTIVE, PROVIDING
 8
      THE MOTIVATION. WE DON'T DO THE WORK.
                    AND I THINK WHAT WE HAVE TO UNDER-
 9
      STAND IS THAT -- YOU KNOW, I HEARD THE IDEA OF
10
11
      REGIONALIZED STUFF. THERE ARE REGIONALIZED THINGS,
      BUT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND, AND THAT'S WHY I LIKE
12
      THE IDEA OF A TEAM FOCUS, WHAT ALL THE PIECES ARE
13
      THAT NEED TO BE IN PLACE. DO WE NEED PERMITTING
14
      RELIEF? DO WE NEED -- DO WE NEED TO ACCELERATE
15
      PERMITS? DO WE NEED TO DO THOSE TYPES OF THINGS?
16
17
                    THE OTHER THING IS, YOU KNOW, I'M
      LOOKING AT ESTIMATED STATEWIDE DISPOSAL FOR
18
19
      COMMERCIAL SECTOR BY MATERIAL TYPES. DEFINE THAT
20
      FOR ME. DOES THAT MEAN THAT IT CAME IN IN A
      FRANCHISE TRUCK? DOES IT MEAN THAT IT CAME IN IN A
21
22
      TRUCK THAT'S OVER TWO TONS? DOES IT MEAN -- I
      DON'T KNOW. GIVE ME A HINT. BECAUSE THIS IS A --
23
24
      THIS CAN BE INTERPRETED BY THE GUY AT THE GATE.
```

THE PERSON THAT'S PUTTING THIS IN AT 65

1 THE GATE OF A LANDFILL OR AT THE GATE OF A FACILITY 2 DETERMINES WHETHER THIS IS COMMERCIAL OR NOT. SO I 3 THINK THAT WE -- YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND 4 INTERNALLY THE INFORMATION THAT'S IN FRONT OF US. 5 WE DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND SOMETIMES WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE WASTESTREAM 6 BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW HOW THE INFORMATION GOT 7 8 CORRELATED. AND I THINK THAT NEEDS -- THAT GOES BACK TO INTEGRATING EACH DEPARTMENT SO WE CAN BRING 10 IN SOME OTHER EXPERTISE. I THINK WHAT WE HAVE TO TAKE 11 12 ADVANTAGE OF -- I AM COMPLETELY IMPRESSED WITH THIS PLACE AS FAR AS THE LEVEL OF EXPERTISE OF EVERYBODY 13 HERE AND THE WILLINGNESS TO GET THINGS DONE. 14 15 THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THESE PROGRAMS AND YOU LOOK AT WHERE WE CAN BE OF ASSISTANCE, WE'RE TALKING 16 ABOUT RESHAPING THIS AGENCY INTO HOW DO WE BETTER 17 UTILIZE OUR DOLLARS. BUT WE NEED TO FOCUS ON THE 18 FACT THAT WHAT'S OUR ROLE. OUR ROLE HERE IS TO 19 20 OFFER LOCAL ASSISTANCE. 21 WE'RE NOT GOING TO IMPROVE LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE IN DIVERSION. WE'RE NOT 22

GOING TO DO THAT. THAT'S STRICTLY AT THE WILL OF

- 24 THE LOCAL JURISDICTION AND THE LOCAL CITIZENS. WE
- 25 HAVE TO BE THERE TO PROMOTE WHAT MAKES IT EASY.

1

OKAY?

```
2
                    C&D WASTE, ORGANICS, I DON'T KNOW
 3
      WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE, BUT I'LL TELL YOU WHAT. I'VE
 4
      PICKED IT UP, PUT IT ON MY BACK. I'LL TELL YOU
 5
      IT'S HEAVIER THAN HELL, AND THERE'S A LOT OF IT,
      YOU KNOW. I'M CONVINCED THAT WE NEED TO FOCUS ON
 6
 7
      THOSE THINGS. BUT I DON'T THINK IF WE HAVE OUR
 8
      TIERED PERMITTING IN PLACE. WE DON'T. SO WE NEED
      TO GET THE EFFORTS OF THOSE PEOPLE. WE NEED TO GET
 9
10
      THE EFFORTS OF THE LEGISLATIVE STAFF ON THE -- YOU
      KNOW, LIKE THE 1117 OR 117 AND ALL THAT TYPE OF
11
12
      THING. WE GOT TO MAKE SURE THOSE BARRIERS ARE DOWN
      WHERE WE NEED TO HAVE THEM DOWN AND WORK FORWARD,
13
      AND THEN BRING IN THIS LEVEL OF EXPERTISE BECAUSE
14
      WE'RE NOT GOING TO ALL AGREE.
15
                    I AM WORRIED THAT -- WELL, LET ME
16
      CHANGE THE WAY I WANT TO SAY THIS. WHEN I WAS
17
      WITH -- WHEN I WAS WITH NORCAL, I USED THIS EXAMPLE
18
      TO THE EXECUTIVE STAFF, AND I THINK IT'S A VALUABLE
19
20
      EXAMPLE. WHEN I WAS AT NORCAL AND WE HAD TO
21
      REFOCUS WHAT WE DID, AND I GAVE THIS SPEECH WHEN WE
      FIRST TALKED ABOUT STRATEGIC PLANS AND WHY I WAS SO
22
23
      INVOLVED IN HAVING A STRATEGY AND HAVING GOALS WAS
```

- 24 BECAUSE THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU SURVIVE IS YOU
- 25 FOCUS ON WHAT YOU CAN DO.

1	AND WHEN I LOOKED AT THE 38 OPERATING
2	COMPANIES THAT WERE UNDER MY CONTROL, THAT I WAS
3	RESPONSIBLE FOR, I BROKE THEM INTO THREE
4	CATEGORIES. I SAID WHICH ONES OPERATE WELL EVERY
5	DAY, GET A GOOD RETURN ON THE INVESTMENT, AND TAKE
6	MINIMAL INVESTMENT FROM ME AS FAR AS MY TIME, AND I
7	PUT THOSE IN ONE CATEGORY. AND THEN I SAID WHICH
8	ONES ARE GOOD, BUT THEY NEED WORK TO REALLY BECOME
9	PERFORMERS, TO REALLY YOU KNOW, THAT I COULD SEE
10	RESULTS IN QUICKLY AND THAT CAN IMPACT THE BOTTOM
11	LINE. AND I HAD THAT GROUP IN THE MIDDLE. AND
12	THAT WAS MY MOST IMPORTANT GROUP. THEN I LOOKED AT
13	THE ONES, WHICH ONES WE WANT TO KEEP AND WHICH ONES
14	DON'T WE WANT TO KEEP? ONE OF THE ONES THAT NO
15	MATTER WHAT I DO, NO MATTER WHAT WE AS A COMPANY DO
16	ARE GOING TO SAVE THESE? IS IT WORTH THE EFFORT?
17	AND THAT WAS A GROUP.
18	WELL, OBVIOUSLY WE STARTED SELLING
19	THOSE COMPANIES OR WE STARTED CLOSING DOWN THOSE
20	TYPES OF OPERATIONS OR INCORPORATING THEM. THE
21	ONES THAT RAN WELL, WE STILL HAD OVERSIGHT. I
22	STILL MADE MY CALLS EVERY DAY. I STILL LOOKED AT

EVERYTHING TO MAKE SURE IT WAS OKAY, BUT I JUST DID

24	NOT	PUT	VERY	MUCH	EFFORT	INTO	IT.	I	PUT	Α	MINIMAL
----	-----	-----	------	------	--------	------	-----	---	-----	---	---------

25 AMOUNT OF EFFORT INTO IT. AND IN THE COURSE OF A 68

1 12-HOUR DAY, I PUT AN HOUR INTO THAT, BUT I SPENT 2 TEN HOURS MAKING SURE THAT THOSE ONES IN THE MIDDLE 3 THAT COULD GET THERE, THAT WE COULD SEE THE BIGGEST 4 RESULTS ON, THAT'S WHERE I PUT ALL OF MY ENERGY AND MADE EVERYBODY AROUND ME PUT THEIR ENERGY INTO. 5 AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE. 6 7 WE CAN DO 80 THINGS HERE HALF ASS, OR 8 WE CAN DO SEVEN OR EIGHT THINGS GREAT, AND 80 THINGS WELL ENOUGH OR 73 THINGS WELL ENOUGH TO GET 9 10 THE JOB DONE. AND THAT'S GOING TO ALWAYS CHANGE BECAUSE YOU ALWAYS HAVE THOSE FIVE OR SIX THINGS 11 12 YOU HAVE TO FOCUS ON. 13 I THINK THAT MAINTENANCE, WE NEED TO DEFINE INTERNALLY HERE. I LIKE THIS, BUT I THINK 14 15 WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS TAKE THIS AND GO THROUGH THESE 81 PROGRAMS AND DEFINE WHAT'S IMPORTANT AND 16 WHAT ISN'T AND DEFINE WHAT CAN WE MAINTAIN WITH A 17 MINIMAL AMOUNT OF EFFORT? AND WHAT'S OUR REAL 18 EXPECTATION AS FAR AS BEING ABLE TO HELP LOCAL 19 JURISDICTIONS MEET DIVERSION RATES? YOU KNOW, 20 21 WHERE CAN WE FOCUS AND WHO DO WE NEED IN THIS STAFF

AND, WESLEY, YOU ARE A HUNDRED

TO FOCUS OUR ENERGIES.

22

- 24 PERCENT RIGHT. IN SOME AREAS IT'S ORGANICS. IN
- OTHER AREAS ORGANICS AREN'T EVEN THERE. I MEAN, 69

1 PHEW, THEY'RE ONE PERCENT OF THE WASTESTREAM, TWO 2 PERCENT OF THE WASTESTREAM. YOU KNOW, I MEAN THEY 3 NEED TO FOCUS ON SOMETHING ELSE. THEY SHOULD HAVE 4 ALREADY BEEN DOING THAT. THEY SHOULD HAVE ALREADY 5 IDENTIFIED THOSE THINGS. 6 WHERE DO WE COME IN -- WHERE ARE WE 7 VALUABLE TO LOOK AT THAT? I LOOK AT SOME OF THE 8 THINGS THAT WE HAVE DOWN IN OUR DEPARTMENTS HERE. AND YOU KNOW, I GET A LITTLE BIT NERVOUS WITH THE 9 10 BROADBRUSH. I GET NERVOUS WHEN I SEE ONE OF THE FUNCTIONS THAT WE DO IS TO PROVIDE AND DEVELOP 11 12 STRATEGIES TO REDUCE COSTS FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN IMPLEMENTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS. I GET NERVOUS 13 ABOUT THAT BECAUSE EVERY JURISDICTION, WHETHER IT 14 15 IS BY THE TERRAIN, WHETHER IT IS BY HOW THE HOUSES ARE LOCATED, THE HOMES ARE LOCATED -- YOU KNOW WHAT 16 IS A HECK OF A PROGRAM WAS OUR BACKYARD RECYCLING 17 PROGRAM IN LOS ALTOS. THEY DIDN'T WANT ANY -- LOS 18 ALTOS HILLS. THEY DIDN'T WANT ANYTHING ON THE 19 20 STREET, YOU KNOW. THEY DON'T MAKE GARBAGE IN LOS 21 ALTOS HILLS. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO KEEP IT HIDDEN BEHIND THE GATES. BELIEVE ME, AND IF YOU COULD SEE 22

3 INCHES OF THAT CAN, WHEN WE WENT AWAY, THEY'D

- CALL US AND TOLD US WE HAD TO MOVE IT, SO WE'D HAVE

 TO SEND A GUY BACK TO MOVE IT 3 INCHES. BUT THAT
 - 70

- 1 JURISDICTION, WE FOUND, THROUGH DOING THAT PROGRAM,
- 2 WE DIDN'T GET ANY ALUMINUM CANS, WE DIDN'T GET ANY
- 3 TIN, WE DIDN'T GET ANY OF THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.
- 4 WE GOT A LOT OF NEWSPAPERS, AND WE GOT A LOT OF
- 5 WINE BOTTLES. I MEAN THAT'S TRUE. THAT'S WHAT WE
- 6 GOT. BUT WE --
- 7 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THEY DON'T EAT
- 8 THINGS OUT OF CANS?
- 9 BOARD MEMBER JONES: NO WAY. BUT RIGHT
- 10 NEXT DOOR IN WOODSIDE, YOU KNOW, CHRIST, THEY'RE
- 11 DRINKING 7-UP AND THEY'RE, YOU KNOW, A LITTLE MORE
- 12 NORMAL. SO WE HAD TO -- WITHIN THAT ONE COMPANY,
- 13 WE HAD SEVEN JURISDICTIONS, AND WE HAD SEVEN
- 14 DIFFERENT TARGET MATERIALS. AND WE HAD TO DO
- 15 COLLECTION DIFFERENTLY. WE HAD TO DESIGN TRUCKS
- 16 THAT ACTUALLY PICKED UP GARBAGE AND HAD
- 17 COMPARTMENTS FOR RECYCLABLES. WE DID IT
- 18 DIFFERENTLY DEPENDING UPON WHAT IT WAS. THAT'S
- 19 REALITY AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT HERE.
- THERE IS NO ONE FIX FOR ALL AREAS.
- 21 EVERY JURISDICTION IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT, SO
- 22 LET'S DEFINE WHAT WE DO. WE OFFER SOME VALUE IN
- 23 SUPPORTING THEM. OKAY.

24				I'D	LOVE	ТО	SUP	PORT	THEM	I WITI	H MONE	ľΥ
25	AND	MAYBE	WE	COULD	AFF	ORD	ТО	SUPP	ORT :	THEM	WITH	
					71							

- 1 MONEY IF WE DIDN'T SPEND ALL OF OUR TIME COUNTING
- 2 WIDGETS, YOU KNOW, THAT MEAN ZIPPITY-DO-DA TO THE
- 3 WORLD. WE GOT TO GO THROUGH THESE 81 OR HUNDRED
- 4 ONE AND SAY WHAT CAN WE DO IN MAINTENANCE? WHAT
- 5 CAN WE REALLY GO TO? I DON'T WANT TO SEE THIS
- 6 PLACE HAVE ONE LESS EMPLOYEE IN IT. AND I NEED TO
- 7 SAY THAT BECAUSE I THINK SOME PEOPLE THOUGHT THAT'S
- 8 WHERE I WAS COMING FROM.
- 9 I WILL TELL YOU THAT I PERSONALLY HAD
- 10 TO DO THAT ONE TIME, AND IT COST 400 PEOPLE THEIR
- 11 JOBS. AND I DON'T TAKE IT VERY LIGHTLY. I TAKE IT
- 12 VERY SERIOUSLY BECAUSE THEY WERE MY PARTNERS, AND
- 13 THAT'S A TOUGH THING TO DO. THAT'S NOT MY INTENT
- 14 HERE. BUT IF WE GOT SOMEBODY IN A DIVISION THAT
- 15 CAN HAVE MORE VALUE FOR US BEING A DOER OF
- 16 SOMETHING ELSE, WE NEED TO DO THAT. AND I THINK
- 17 THE ONLY WAY WE GET TO THAT NEXT STEP -- AND I TELL
- 18 YOU WHAT, IF WE CAN DO THIS AS A GOVERNMENT AGENCY,
- 19 I THINK WE HAVE SET THE STANDARD FOR THIS STATE.
- 20 AND YOU KNOW, WE JUST HAVE TO REFOCUS, SEE WHO WE
- 21 HAVE TO DO IT, SO I LIKE THE THINGS THAT ARE BEING
- 22 BROUGHT UP.
- 23 MY INPUT TO THIS THING IS WE GOT TO

- DEFINE IN REALITY WHERE IS OUR VALUE, YOU KNOW?
- 25 WHAT REALLY DO WE DO THAT'S VALUABLE? AND I THINK 72

- 1 WE DO A LOT OF THINGS, BUT I THINK WE KID OURSELVES
- 2 IF WE THINK WE DO A HUNDRED ONE THINGS THAT ARE
- 3 VALUABLE BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE DO PERSONALLY. I
- 4 THINK, YOU KNOW, WE JUST NEED TO FOCUS. THAT'S THE
- 5 END OF MY SPEECH.
- 6 (APPLAUSE.)
- 7 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MY TURN. DIDN'T DO
- 8 THAT FOR ME.
- 9 MR. CHANDLER: WELL, MEMBERS, WHAT I WOULD
- 10 LIKE TO SUGGEST, AND IT'S JUST A SUGGESTION, WE
- 11 KIND OF FINISHED OUR MORE FORMAL PRESENTATION. I
- 12 HAVE ASKED DOUG TO PUT BACK UP THE NEXT STEP SLIDE,
- AND I ALSO KNOW THAT THIS IS AT THE POINT IN THE
- 14 PRESENTATION THAT WE REALLY WANTED TO GET SOME
- 15 FEEDBACK ON NOT JUST OUR CURRENT STATE, BUT RESPOND
- 16 TO HOW WE PROPOSE TO MOVE FORWARD. I DON'T KNOW IF
- 17 YOU THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO GIVE BETH FIVE
- 18 MINUTES TO JUST TAKE A SHORT BREAK AND THEN COME
- 19 BACK AND START.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M READY TO GIVE
- 21 YOU SOME FEEDBACK IN ADDITION TO WHAT I'VE ALREADY
- 22 GIVEN YOU.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: LET'S TAKE ABOUT
- 24 FIVE MINUTES HERE.

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. WE'LL COME 1 2 BACK TO ORDER HERE, AND I THINK WE LEFT OFF AT THE POINT WHERE WE WERE GOING TO SOME FEEDBACK FROM THE 3 BOARD MEMBERS. AND I THINK YOU WERE WANTING TO 4 5 START OFF. 6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THANK YOU. WELL, I 7 WAS WONDERING WHEN I WAS REVIEWING THE ITEM THAT WAS IN THE AGENDA WHETHER WE HAVE A NEW SET OF 8 THREE R'S, VERSION NO. 5., REDUCE, RETAIN, OR 9 REFOCUS. SERIOUSLY, THE STRATEGY IN THE MODEL IS 10 INTERESTING AND IMPORTANT, AND I THINK A GOOD 11 VEHICLE FOR GETTING THE DIALOGUE GOING, BUT IT 12 REMAINS VERY GENERAL POLICY WITHOUT A LOT OF 13 DEFINITION OR SPECIFICITY. AND IT'S EVEN A LITTLE 14 BIT CONFUSING, AND THAT'S FROM SOMEBODY WHO JUST 15 GOT A DEGREE IN ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND 16 17 TALKED ABOUT THIS STUFF FOR TWO YEARS. SO IT REALLY DOES NEED SOME DEFINITION. 18 19 THE PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING KEY AREAS 20 IS GOOD, BUT I THINK WE ALSO NEED TO REMEMBER THAT WE'VE DONE A LOT OF THIS WORK CERTAINLY IN SOME 21 SPECIFIC AREAS IN THE BOARD, SUCH AS THE MARKET 22 DEVELOPMENT PLAN, WHICH IS, I GUESS, PRIMARILY 23 24 WHERE WE CAME TO THE PRIORITIES OF ORGANICS AND C&D

25 IS THAT IT GREW OUT OF THAT PROCESS. BUT WE'VE HAD $$74\$

1 THE GETTING TO 50 PERCENT PROCESS AND THE STRATEGIC 2 PLAN PROCESS, AND SO A LOT OF IT'S NOT NEW. 3 IN TERMS OF ADDRESSING THE SPECIFIC 4 PRIORITY PROGRAMS, FIRST OF ALL, THE TOP FOUR HAVE 5 BEEN PRESENTED WITHOUT REALLY AN ITEM FOR BOARD ACTION. IT WAS HANDED OUT. WE TALKED ABOUT IT --6 YOU'VE TALKED ABOUT IT INDIVIDUALLY WITH BOARD 7 8 MEMBERS, AND PIECES OF IT HAVE EXISTED ALONG THE 9 WAY, BUT IT IS A MAJOR ITERATION AND ATTEMPT TO 10 NARROW THE FIELD IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN. 11 12 AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE A WHOLE LOT MORE ANALYSIS. I THINK THERE'S 13 PROBLEMS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT EACH ONE OF THEM. 14 THEY EACH HAVE SERIOUS QUESTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, 15 WHAT'S INCLUDED IN ORGANICS? WHAT ARE WE TALKING 16 17 ABOUT? IS IT FOOD WASTE? IS FOOD WASTE IN AND 18 OUT, OR IS IT JUST YARD WASTE? WITH REGARDS TO C&D, I HANDED OUT 19 SOME NUMBERS THAT INDICATE WHAT THE C&D NUMBERS 20 21 ARE, BUT HOW MUCH C&D IS ACTUALLY GOING INTO LANDFILLS? AND WHAT'S THE CRITERIA WE USED HERE? 22

IS IT JUST THE PERCENTAGE OF THE WASTESTREAM, OR

LS													
24	IT :	SOM	IETHING	WE	THI	NK W	ΙE	CAN	ACHIEV	E A	LOT	IN	ГА
25	HURI	RY	WITH?	WHA'	T MA	AKES 75	C&	D A	HIGHE	R PF	RIORIT	ГΥ	OVER

- 1 OTHER MATERIALS?
- 2 I'M NOT THERE YET. I CERTAINLY --
- 3 YOU ARE RIGHT, CAREN, IN THE MARKETS PLAN WE SAID
- 4 THAT WE THOUGHT, YOU KNOW, WE COULD GET A LOT OF
- 5 BANG FOR OUR BUCK BY TRYING TO ZERO IN ON SOME
- 6 PRIORITY MATERIALS, BUT I'M NOT SURE FOR THE
- 7 OVERARCHING STRATEGY HERE WE'RE THERE YET.
- 8 MS. TRGOVCICH: I WOULD JUST CAUTION YOU,
- 9 MEMBER CHESBRO, IN TERMS OF THE DATA THAT YOU DID
- 10 HAND OUT, THERE'S A SPECIFIC NOTATION AT THE BOTTOM
- 11 THAT IT DOES NOT INCLUDE C&D. SO IT'S SELF-HAUL.
- 12 IT'S A FRACTION IN TERMS --
- 13 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: C&D SELF-HAUL.
- 14 THAT'S THE RESIDENTIAL, BUT AMONGST THE
- 15 COMMERCIAL --
- 16 MS. TRGOVCICH: THIS IS THE COMMERCIAL
- 17 LISTING.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I DIDN'T MEAN TO
- 19 SAY IT WASN'T A PRIORITY. I WASN'T ARGUING AGAINST
- 20 C&D. I WAS SAYING WHAT'S THE CRITERIA? WHAT'S THE
- 21 ANALYSIS? WHAT ARE WE DECIDING THIS BASED ON?
- 22 THAT WAS MY POINT. IT WASN'T TO SAY THAT, YOU
- 23 KNOW, THIS NUMBER SAYS 3 PERCENT; THEREFORE, LET'S
- 24 NOT DO C&D. THAT WASN'T WHAT I WAS TRYING TO

25 PORTRAY. WHAT I WAS TRYING TO PORTRAY WAS I DON'T 76

- 1 HAVE IN FRONT OF ME THE ANALYSIS, WHAT THE FACTORS
- 2 ARE THAT WE'RE GOING TO USE TO DETERMINE PRIORITY
- 3 MATERIALS AND WHY.
- 4 AS FAR AS THE LEA PERFORMANCE
- 5 APPARENTLY BEING THE PRIMARY MEANS OF IMPLEMENTING
- 6 GOAL 3, I'M NOT REALLY SATISFIED WITH THAT. IT
- 7 DOESN'T ADDRESS THE FUNDAMENTAL ROLE OF THE BOARD
- 8 IN APPROVING PERMITS AND SEEING TO IT THAT ADEQUATE
- 9 COMPLIANCE IS TAKING PLACE AROUND THE STATE.
- 10 IMPROVING LEA'S IS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY, BUT IT IS
- 11 TOO NARROWLY FOCUSED A WAY TO IMPLEMENT GOAL 3.
- 12 THE BOARD AND THE BOARD STAFF DO HAVE
- 13 A MAJOR ROLE, AND BOARD MEMBER JONES MENTIONED HERE
- 14 PERMITTING, FOR EXAMPLE, AS A REALLY MAJOR PIECE.
- 15 WHERE DOES THAT FIT IN IN OUR PRIORITY AREA FOR
- 16 IMPLEMENTING GOAL 3? TO ME THAT'S REALLY
- 17 IMPORTANT. AND I DON'T THINK IMPROVING LEA'S
- ADDRESSES HOW WE ACHIEVE THAT PART OF STRATEGIC
- 19 PLAN GOAL 3.
- 20 OVERALL I'M NOT -- IF THE QUESTION IS
- 21 BY FEEDBACK, YOU KNOW, GEE, IS THE BOARD GOING TO
- 22 SAY GO FORWARD WITH THESE FOUR, I'M NOT THERE. I'M
- 23 NOT AGAINST THEM. I JUST HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS,
- 24 AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE SUFFICIENT ANALYSIS

25 AND CRITERIA FOR DECIDING THAT THAT'S -- THOSE ARE 77

1 THE MARCHING ORDERS TO MOVE TO THE NEXT STEP WITH. 2 AND I ALSO, AGAIN, AD NAUSEUM, POINT 3 NO. 50 THAT I'M GOING RAISE THIS AGAIN, WE NEED 4 CLARIFYING DISCUSSION, THAT I'M HEARING IT FROM THE 5 OTHER BOARD MEMBERS THAT IN FOCUSING ON CERTAIN MATERIALS, THAT WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT WE'RE NOT 6 7 FOCUSING IN ON THE OTHER MATERIALS IN THE 8 WASTESTREAM AS WELL. SOMEHOW OR OTHER WE NEED TO 9 FIGURE OUT WHAT THAT BALANCE IS OF SAYING PERHAPS 10 ON A STATEWIDE BASIS, YOU KNOW, MORE RESOURCES GO INTO CERTAIN PRIORITY ACTIVITIES, BUT MEANWHILE WE 11 12 GOT REGIONAL FOCUS. 13 DURING THE BREAK BOARD MEMBER RELIS AND I WERE TALKING ABOUT ORGANICS IN LOS ANGELES 14 15 COUNTY. SOME OTHER REGION CAN BE MAYBE SOMETHING TOTALLY DIFFERENT, AND THEN THERE'S THE JURISDIC-16 17 TION SPECIFIC RESPONSIBILITY. 18 SO IT'S MUCH MORE COMPLEX THAN JUST SAYING FOCUSED REDUCTION ON ORGANICS AND FOCUSED 19 20 REDUCTION IN CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION. 21 DOESN'T COVER THE NEED FOR ACTIVITY AT THE BOARD ADEQUATELY. 22

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ARE YOU THROUGH?

24		BOA	ARD MI	EMBER	CI	HES	SBRO:	WI	ELL,	LET	ME	JUST
25	SAY	OVERALL	GOOD	WORK.		I	KNOW	IT	SOUI	NDS	LIKE	I'M
				-	7 8							

BEING VERY NEGATIVE, BUT I THINK THAT WE'RE

1

2 PROGRESSING, BUT I'M JUST PUTTING THOSE OUT THERE 3 AS THE AREAS OF CONCERN THAT NEED TO BE DEALT WITH. 4 MS. RICE: CAN I ASK A CLARIFYING 5 OUESTION? IS THAT APPROPRIATE? IN SPEAKING TO THE PRIORITY AREA EXPRESSED ABOUT IMPROVING LEA 6 7 PERFORMANCE, AGAIN REITERATING THE REASON THAT WAS 8 SELECTED IS THAT WE DON'T PREPARE PERMITS, WE DON'T DO THE MONTHLY INSPECTIONS, WE DON'T ENSURE 9 COMPLIANCE AT FACILITIES. YES, WE HAVE AN 10 IMPORTANT ROLE IN ALL THOSE PROCEDURES; BUT IF THE 11 12 PERMIT IS NOT PREPARED ADEQUATELY UP FRONT BY THE OPERATOR AND THE LEA, THEN WHAT WE RECEIVE IS NOT 13 SOMETHING THAT MEETS YOUR STANDARDS. 14 15 SO I AGREE ABSOLUTELY WITH YOU THAT IT IS VERY IMPORTANT, WHAT ARE THE THINGS THAT ARE 16 PRESENTED TO YOU FOR DECISION-MAKING AND WHAT IS 17 YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN THAT PROCESS AND WHAT IS BOARD 18 STAFF'S INVOLVEMENT IN THAT PROCESS. BUT IT ALL 19 STARTS UPSTREAM WITH SOMEONE ELSE ACTUALLY DOING 20 21 THE WORK, WHICH WAS WHY THE FOCUS ON THAT. AND I'M ONLY SAYING THESE WORDS BECAUSE I'M ASSUMING THAT 22 23 MUST NOT HAVE BEEN CLEAR FROM THE WAY I DESCRIBED

- 24 IT AS TO WHY WE'RE FOCUSING OR I'M FOCUSING AT THIS
- MOMENT ON THE LEA.

```
1
                     I DON'T WRITE PERMITS; THE LEA DOES.
 2
      I DON'T DO INSPECTIONS; THE LEA DOES. I DON'T
 3
      WRITE ENFORCEMENT ORDERS; THE LEA DOES. I, OF
 4
      COURSE, HAVE A VERY IMPORTANT ROLE TO REVIEW THOSE
 5
      ASPECTS AND MAKE SURE THEY'RE DONE, AND THE LAW
      SPEAKS TO IF THEY'RE NOT BEING DONE, THEN WE CAN DO
 6
      THEM. YOU KNOW, WE STEP IN IF THEY ARE NOT TAKING
 7
 8
      APPROPRIATE ENFORCEMENT ACTION OR NOT WRITING
 9
      PERMITS TIMELY AND APPROPRIATELY, THEN THROUGH THE
      PROCESS WE STEP IN. SO THAT IS WHY, FOR THIS TEAM,
10
      THE FOCUS ON THE LEA AS THE FIRST POINT, NOT THE
11
12
      ONLY POINT, BUT AN IMPORTANT POINT.
13
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I'D LIKE TO OFFER A
      FEW COMMENTS. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO START OFF AND
14
15
      JUST SAY I THINK THIS IS RIGHT ON TARGET. I THINK
      THAT WE HAVE BEEN STRUGGLING FOR YEARS AS A BOARD
16
      TO REACH A DAY, A TIME, HOPEFULLY VERY, VERY SOON,
17
      TO FOCUS OUR RESOURCES. WE CANNOT ATTAIN A 50-
18
      PERCENT DIVERSION IN THIS STATE WITHOUT A FOCUSED,
19
20
      MEASURE-BASED EFFORT. WE HAVE TWO YEARS, THREE
21
      MONTHS TO THE DATE THAT THE MEASUREMENT WILL TAKE
      PLACE IN. IF WE DIDDLE AROUND WITH A HUNDRED
22
```

DISPARATE ACTIVITIES, WE WILL SIMPLY NOT GET

THERE.

SO I THINK IT'S A MOMENT OF

RECKONING

25 FOR THIS BOARD AND ITS RESOLVE TO MAKE A

DETERMINA-

```
1
      TION AT THIS TIME WITH THE BEST AVAILABLE INPUT
 2
      FROM STAFF ABOUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO ROLL OUT THE
 3
      NEXT 2.3 YEARS OF EFFORT. WE WILL BE MEASURED BY
 4
      THE 50-PERCENT DIVERSION EFFORT. WE WILL -- WE
 5
      KNOW THAT CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS ARE GOING TO DO
      MORE, SOME WILL DO LESS. THAT'S THE ENFORCEMENT
 6
 7
      SIDE OF THAT BOARD. THAT'S A DISCUSSION FOR
 8
      ANOTHER DAY.
 9
                    BUT WHEN I LOOK AT THE MATERIAL
10
      STREAM, AND ALL OF US GO AROUND THE STATE, YOU CAN
      BEGIN TO SIZE UP FAIRLY QUICKLY WHERE THE PROGRESS
11
      IS BEING MADE, WHERE IT ISN'T. SOME MATERIALS,
12
      IT'S GOING TO BE EASIER TO MAKE A QUANTUM LEAP AND
13
      OTHERS WILL BE INTRACTABLE. THERE'S CERTAIN
14
      THINGS, NO MATTER HOW HARD YOU POUND YOUR WAY AT
15
      IT, IT DOESN'T CHANGE THE BOTTOM LINE.
16
                     SO I WELCOME A FOCUS. I WELCOME A
17
      DISCUSSION OF MOVING FROM 50 ACTIVITIES AND HIT A
18
      SMALL NUMBER HARD, HAVE A RESERVE THAT COMES IN.
19
      IT DOESN'T MEAN -- AND WESLEY AND I WERE TALKING IN
20
21
      JURISDICTIONS. SUPPOSE WE HAVE AN AREA -- AND WE
      WILL DEPEND ON THE STAFF TO CLARIFY THIS FOR US.
22
```

IN SOME AREAS IT'S GOING TO BE ORGANICS, IN SOME

- 24 AREAS IT'S NOT RELEVANT, THAT ORGANICS WON'T BE
- 25 RELEVANT, C&D WON'T BE RELEVANT. WE STILL HAVE TO 81

1 ADDRESS THE PROBLEMS BY REGION AND THE MATERIALS BY 2 REGION. 3 SO WHAT -- I USED THE WORD BEFORE 4 "PREPONDERANCE OF ACTIVITY." IT'S A WEIGHTING. 5 IT'S NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT THAT WE ONLY FOCUS ON ORGANICS A HUNDRED PERCENT. WE ONLY FOCUS ON C&D. 6 NO, IT'S JUST SAYING STATEWIDE, BASED ON LOOKING AT 7 8 THE STATE AS A WHOLE AND WHAT IS THE UNMET 15 TO 20 9 PERCENT OF MATERIAL THAT'S OUT THERE THAT COULD BE 10 RECOVERED, COULD BE DIVERTED, COULD BE REDUCED. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SEEING ON THE 11 12 SCREEN. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THERE AREN'T PROBLEMS 13 WITH PLASTICS OR PAPER. WE WILL HAVE TO CONTINUE OUR EFFORTS IN THAT REGARD, BUT WE MAY NOT BE ABLE 14 TO DO IT AS MUCH OR AS WELL. 15 SO AS FAR AS THE ISSUE OF LEA, I 16 THINK WE HAD A DISCUSSION YESTERDAY THAT REFLECTS 17 18 STILL SOME OF THE BOARD'S CONCERN ABOUT THE, I USE THE TERM, "TRANSPARENCY" OF WHAT IS GOING TO BE THE 19 20 REVIEW LINK BASED ON OUR HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE? I 21 THINK YOU ARE SENSING THERE'S STILL POSSIBLY SOME CONCERN THERE BY AT LEAST SEVERAL OF US, THAT WE 22

DON'T -- EITHER WE DON'T QUITE GET IT YET, OR THE

- 24 CASE HASN'T BEEN FULLY MADE TO GIVE US A COMFORT
- 25 LEVEL WITH WHAT THAT PROCESS IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE,

- 1 FEEL LIKE WHEN IT COMES TO THE PERMITS THAT COME
- 2 BEFORE THE BOARD, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO WHEN THE
- 3 LEA'S PLAY A MORE PROMINENT ROLE.
- 4 AND THEN IN TERMS OF THE LOCAL
- 5 ASSISTANCE, I THINK THAT INTERFACE -- I'M REALLY
- 6 HAPPY TO HEAR THAT LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND MARKETS IN
- 7 THIS CASE, AND I KNOW IT'S BEEN GOING ON ACROSS
- 8 ORGANIZATION, BUT THIS IDEA OF HAVING A TEAM LEADER
- 9 WITH ACCOUNTABILITY FOR A PROGRAM AREA IS EXCITING
- 10 TO ME. I THINK IT'S -- AS STEVE PUT IT, I THINK
- 11 THAT WOULD BE A BOLD MOVE FOR STATE GOVERNMENT TO
- 12 SHOW THAT WE'RE ORGANIZING OURSELVES AROUND A
- 13 MISSION, AND THAT MISSION IS AS DEFINED AS ANY
- 14 MISSION EVER GRANTED BY STATE GOVERNMENT. HERE IT
- 15 IS. IT WAS THIS MANY TONS WHEN YOU BEGAN. HERE'S
- 16 WHERE YOU ARE TODAY, AND HERE'S YOUR ACCOUNT-
- 17 ABILITY. AND THAT'S BEAUTIFUL TO HAVE A MISSION
- 18 LIKE THAT. AT LEAST IT ISN'T AMBIGUOUS.
- 19 SO I'M EXCITED. I'D LIKE TO MOVE ON
- 20 THIS AS SOON AS WE CAN. I THINK IT WOULD HELP TO
- 21 HAVE STAFF COME BACK AT OUR NEXT ROUND WITH SOME OF
- 22 THE NUMERICAL OR QUANTITATIVE OR THE CONTEXT THAT
- 23 YOU SEE FROM LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND MARKETS TO PUT

- THESE TONNAGE FIGURES IN A FRAMEWORK THAT SAYS,
- WELL, WHAT IS THE ORGANICS OR WHAT IS THAT C&D, AND $$83\$

- 1 WE KNOW WHAT THE -- AND HOW CERTAIN ARE WE ABOUT
- 2 SOME OF THE OTHER MATERIALS BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO
- 3 HAVE THAT DETAILED DISCUSSION ON WHAT'S HAPPENING
- 4 WITH PAPER. IT'S A VERY, VERY BIG NUMBER IN OUR
- 5 FRAMEWORK.
- 6 SO ALL IN ALL, I REALLY WANT TO
- 7 COMMEND THE STAFF, THE WHOLE EXECUTIVE OFFICE HERE,
- 8 FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD. AND I REALIZE IT'S AN
- 9 ADJUSTMENT. KEITH, THERE'S SOME OF THE PRESENTA-
- 10 TION TODAY WE DIDN'T SEE IN THE BASIC MATERIALS,
- 11 BUT FRANKLY I THINK THAT IMPROVES IT.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I
- ASK MR. RELIS A QUESTION? WHEN -- I THINK WE ARE.
- 14 I JUST WANT TO DEFINE WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE
- 15 AMOUNTS OF MATERIALS, WE'RE TALKING REGIONALLY,
- 16 RIGHT, BECAUSE --
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: YES.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER JONES: -- THE WHOLE CONTEXT
- 19 OF WHAT YOU WERE SAYING WAS WE IDENTIFY THESE
- 20 THINGS REGIONALLY. AND SO WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT
- NUMBERS, WHEN WE WANT TO SEE THAT NEXT STEP, TO
- 22 SUPPORT WHAT MR. RELIS WAS SAYING, I THINK ALL OF
- US AGREE, YOU GO INTO AN AREA REGIONALLY, WHAT'S

- THE ISSUE REGIONALLY, YOU KNOW? AND MAYBE YOU
- DON'T -- DON'T WORRY IF IT'S NOT PERFECT. AT LEAST 84

- 1 IT'S A, YOU KNOW, AN IDEA, THEN WE AT LEAST CAN
 2 FOCUS IN THAT AREA. YEAH, C&D IS BIG IN THIS AREA
- Z 10000 IN IIIII MOM. IDMI, CAD ID DIG IN IIIID MOM
- 3 BECAUSE WE'VE GOT ALL THIS DEVELOPMENT GOING ON OR
- 4 WE JUST HAD A BUNCH OF FIRES OR WE JUST HAD AN
- 5 EARTHQUAKE.
- 6 YOU KNOW, ONE THING WE HAVEN'T TALKED
- 7 ABOUT THAT WE NEED TO, IT'S NOT PART OF THIS, BUT
- 8 ALL THE BOSSES ARE HERE, WHAT'S EL NINO GOING TO
- 9 DO? I MEAN ARE WE PREPARED, ARE WE PUTTING OUT
- 10 ADVISORIES, LETTING PEOPLE KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH
- 11 THEIR MATERIALS AND THINGS LIKE THAT? BUT
- 12 ANYWAY --
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: THAT'S THE
- 14 PARTNERSHIP SIDE. YOU KNOW, WE -- WE'RE
- 15 IDENTIFYING WITH LOCAL ASSISTANCE WHERE THE NEEDS
- 16 ARE. WE HOPEFULLY CAN ROLL IN THIS TEAMWORK
- 17 FASHION AND CONVEY WHAT WE CAN DO, AND THERE ARE
- 18 LIMITS TO WHAT WE CAN DO. IT'S A PARTNERSHIP. YOU
- 19 KNOW, WE DON'T BUILD FACILITIES, WE DON'T OPERATE
- 20 FACILITIES, AND WE'RE NOT ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE FINE
- 21 SHOULD IT COME TO THAT, BUT WE ARE ACCOUNTABLE FOR
- 22 BEING A CREDIBLE, WORTHY PARTNER WITH LOCAL
- 23 GOVERNMENT IN TERMS OF ASSISTING THEM GETTING WHERE

24 THEY NEED TO GO.

WE CAN ONLY GO SO FAR. ULTIMATELY 85

1 THE CITY COUNCILS, THE BOARDS OF SUPERVISORS, THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENTS, THEY CONTROL THE BUDGETS 2 3 AND THE CONTRACTS, BUT WE TAKE IT THAT FAR. WE'VE 4 DONE OUR JOB. AND THEN WE HAVE NOTHING TO DO BUT 5 SEE HOW IT PLAYS OUT. BOARD MEMBER JONES: I THINK YOU ARE 6 HUNDRED PERCENT RIGHT. AND IN TALKING ABOUT 7 8 FOCUSING AND -- THANKS, MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE I KNOW IT'S YOUR TURN TO TALK, BUT THIS IS GOOD 9 10 STUFF. YOU KNOW, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT FOCUSING ON C&D AND FOCUSING ON THAT, I DON'T KNOW IF THE OTHER 11 12 BOARD MEMBERS FEEL THIS WAY OR NOT, I FEEL LIKE WE'VE GOT A LOT OF PROGRAMS OUT THERE. WE IN THE 13 INDUSTRY, WE IN THE PUBLIC OR, YOU KNOW, CITY 14 15 GOVERNMENTS HAVE PROVIDED MECHANISMS TO DIVERT PLASTIC, ALUMINUM CANS, ALL THE CURBSIDE-TYPE 16 MATERIALS, ALL THE DROP-OFF-TYPE MATERIALS. WHERE 17 18 THEY WANT TO HAVE THOSE PROGRAMS, THEY HAVE THOSE PROGRAMS. WHERE COMMERCIAL RECYCLING IS GOING 19 20 AFTER PARTS OF THE WASTESTREAM, THOSE PROGRAMS 21 EXIST. WHERE THEY DON'T EXIST, THEN WE GET INTO A NUMBERS GAME. WE GET INTO THE, WELL, LET ME 22

REDEFINE MY BASE YEAR. YOU KNOW, I MADE A MISTAKE

24	BECAUSE	I	SCAMMED	THIS	S TF	HING	AND	WASN	I'T	GOING	ТО	
25	HAVE TO	DC	ANYTHIN	- '	AND	YOU	CHAN	IGED	THE	METHC	D	SO

- NOW I HAVE TO RESCAM IT.

 BUT, YOU K
- BUT, YOU KNOW, THOSE MATERIALS ARE
- 3 OUT THERE, AND THERE'S PROGRAMS AVAILABLE TO DO IT,
- 4 AND IT'S PRETTY COMMON KNOWLEDGE. I THINK ONE OF
- 5 THE THINGS, AND I'M ADDRESSING IT BECAUSE I THINK
- 6 WESLEY IS RIGHT, THAT WE CAN'T LOSE TRACK OF ANY OF
- 7 THE OTHER MATERIALS, BUT I THINK THERE'S PROGRAMS
- 8 THERE. WHERE THERE AREN'T A LOT OF PROGRAMS ARE
- 9 C&D WASTE, ORGANICS, THINGS LIKE THAT. PAUL TALKED
- 10 EARLIER ABOUT THE PROCESS OF THE PILOT PROJECTS TO
- 11 START GETTING MATERIALS GOING AND THINGS.
- 12 I THINK WE'RE -- YOU KNOW,
- 13 COMMUNITIES ARE GETTING PAST THAT POINT. WE HAVE
- 14 AVAILABLE INFORMATION. NOW WE'VE GOT TO REALLY DO
- 15 WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR THEM TO
- 16 START THOSE FACILITIES, TO IDENTIFY THAT THEY CAN
- 17 DO YARD DROP-OFF, YOU KNOW, WOODWASTE DROP-OFF,
- 18 THOSE TYPES OF THINGS IN COMMUNITIES, WHATEVER THE
- 19 PROGRAM NEEDS TO FIT, BUT I THINK IF WE LOOK AT IT
- 20 IN WHAT'S ALREADY OUT THERE, WHAT'S AVAILABLE.
- 21 AND THEN I THINK THE OTHER THING THAT
- 22 WE NEED TO LOOK AT IS WE HAVE TWO ROLES HERE.
- 23 WE'RE OFFERING ASSISTANCE. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE

THAT DON'T WANT OUR ASSISTANCE. THEY DON'T WANT

ANYTHING TO DO WITH US, SO WHY DO WE WASTE OUR

87

- 1 TIME. WE MAKE IT AVAILABLE. IF THEY DON'T WANT
- 2 IT, YOU DON'T FORCE FEED THEM, BUT WE HAVE ANOTHER
- 3 WAY OF DEALING WITH THAT. IF THOSE JURISDICTIONS
- 4 DECIDED THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO DEAL WITH US, THEY
- 5 DON'T WANT TO TAKE ANY OF THE HELP, AND THEY ALSO
- 6 DON'T WANT TO DO ANY PROGRAMS, THEN WE GO INTO
- 7 ANOTHER PHASE, AND IT'S CALLED ENFORCEMENT. AND AT
- 8 THAT POINT WE OFFER THEM THE OPPORTUNITY OF
- 9 \$10,000-A-DAY FINES. I THINK THAT GETS THEM
- 10 FOCUSED. GET MY ATTENTION.
- BUT I THINK THAT IF WE LOOK AT THAT,
- AND WE CAN'T BE EVERYTHING TO EVERYBODY, PEOPLE
- 13 DON'T WANT OUR HELP ON SOME AREAS, SO I THINK IT'S
- 14 ANOTHER CASE OF OR IT'S ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF FOCUSING
- 15 OUR EFFORTS ON THOSE PEOPLE THAT WANT TO TAKE
- 16 ADVANTAGE OF IT. YOU KNOW, FOCUS ON WHO WANTS YOUR
- 17 HELP.
- TO ME, YOU DO THAT REGIONALLY AND YOU
- 19 HAVE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS, YOU DON'T HAVE TO COVER
- 20 EVERYTHING, BUT YOU COVER THE BASICS AND YOU ARE
- 21 OUT THERE DOING YOUR JOB. AND IF THEY DON'T WANT
- 22 TO TAKE IT, WE'LL GET THERE. AS A STATE, WE'LL HIT
- 23 50 PERCENT. THERE'S NO QUESTION IN MY MIND THAT WE

24	WILL	HIT	50	PERCENT.	THOSE	JURISD	ICT	IONS 7	ГНАТ
25	DON'T	IAW 1	IT T	O PARTICI	PATE,	THERE'S	A	WHOLE	NOTHER
					00				

- 1 WAY OF DEALING WITH THEM.
- 2 I DON'T WANT TO GET US CAUGHT UP IN
- 3 SAYING WE GOT TO HOLD -- I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY
- 4 CITIES THERE ARE IN THIS STATE, BUT WE DON'T NEED
- 5 TO HOLD EVERYBODY'S HAND. WE NEED TO HOLD THE HAND
- 6 OF THE ONE THAT WANTS US TO HOLD THE HAND, SO, YOU
- 7 KNOW.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. WELL, ONE OF
- 9 THE GREAT THINGS ABOUT BEING CHAIRMAN IS YOU GET TO
- 10 HAVE THE LAST WORD. UNFORTUNATELY SOMETIMES IT'S
- 11 ALL BEEN SAID BEFORE YOU, AND THERE'S NOT MUCH TO
- 12 SAY. BUT LET ME SAY, FIRST, THAT I CONGRATULATE
- 13 YOU ON AN EXCELLENT JOB, AN EXCELLENT PRESENTA-
- 14 TION. I THINK YOU'VE GOT US FOCUSED OR AT LEAST
- 15 WE'RE WORKING IN THAT DIRECTION.
- 16 I THINK THAT THE IMPORTANT THING IS
- 17 THE NEXT STEPS. I THINK IT'S CRITICAL THAT WE --
- 18 THE CRITERIA THAT WE USE TO PICK THE VARIOUS
- 19 STRATEGIES IS CRITICAL. SO I DO WANT YOU ALL TO
- 20 KNOW THAT I THINK WE ALL THINK THAT YOU'VE DONE AN
- 21 EXCELLENT JOB HERE AND HAVE MADE OUR JOB A LOT
- 22 EASIER BY BRINGING US TOGETHER AND FOCUSING US, AND
- 23 I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT.

24			I	THINK,	LIKE	ALL	THE	REST	WHO	HAVE
25	SAID,	THAT	IT'S	CRITICA 89	AL THA	IW TA	E DO	REDUC	CE TI	HE

- 1 FOCUS TO A FEW WELL-DONE STRATEGIES AND PROGRAMS.
 2 WE CAN'T -- I AGREE, WE CAN'T LEAVE ANYTHING OFF,
- 3 BUT WE CAN GO INTO THAT MAINTENANCE MODE THAT YOU
- 4 TALK ABOUT THAT GIVES US THE ABILITY TO REALLY WORK
- 5 HARD ON THOSE THINGS THAT WE GET THE MOST BANG FOR
- 6 THE BUCKS FOR. AND WE HAVE TO REMEMBER THAT NEXT
- 7 YEAR, YOU KNOW, WE'LL PROBABLY GO THROUGH SOME MORE
- 8 REDUCTION IN OUR RESOURCES SO THAT -- I LIKE TO
- 9 TELL PEOPLE THAT WE'RE A UNIQUE AGENCY FOR
- 10 GOVERNMENT, AND THAT IS, THE BETTER THE JOB WE DO,
- 11 THE LESS MONEY WE GET. MOST AGENCIES, YOU KNOW,
- 12 MORE TICKETS THEY WRITE, THEY GET MORE MONEY, OR
- 13 THE MORE THEY DO SOMETHING, THEY GET MORE STAFF.
- 14 AND THE BETTER WE DO, THE MORE WE REDUCE OUR OWN
- 15 ABILITIES TO HAVE RESOURCES.
- SO I THINK -- I CAN'T ADD A LOT TO
- 17 WHAT WAS SAID BY MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD EXCEPT
- 18 THAT I THINK WE'RE EXTREMELY LUCKY TO HAVE THE
- 19 STAFF THAT WE HAVE AND WE APPRECIATE IT. THANK
- 20 YOU.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, THERE WAS
- 22 ONE POINT I FEEL LIKE I DIDN'T -- I DON'T WANT TO
- 23 STEAL YOUR THUNDER.

24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SEE, I GET THE LAST
25 WORD. IT'S CALLED ADJOURN.
90

1	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: THERE IS ONE AREA
2	THAT I THINK WE HAVEN'T DISCUSSED THAT I WOULD LIKE
3	TO JUST THROW OUT ON THE TABLE FOR YOUR FURTHER
4	WORK, THE WASTE PREVENTION SIDE. WE HAVE AND WE'VE
5	OBSERVED OVER THE YEARS THESE WRAP AWARDS, AND I
6	THINK EUGENE TSUNG, OUR CONTRACTOR, HAS BEEN DOING
7	WORK, BUT I'VE NEVER SEEN THIS FULLY MATERIALIZE IN
8	TERMS OF WHAT IS THE SUM TOTAL OF THAT WORK
9	SUGGESTING BY WAY OF WHAT WASTE PREVENTION HAS
10	PRODUCED IN KEY SECTORS OF OUR ECONOMY HERE.
11	WE HAVE GIVEN OUT HUNDREDS OF AWARDS.
12	THAT'S A DATABASE WHERE COMPANIES HAD TO COMPILE
13	WHAT THEY REDUCED BY WHAT THEY DIVERTED BY
14	RECYCLING AND WHAT THEY DIVERTED BY WASTE
15	PREVENTION. AND I HAPPEN TO BELIEVE THAT THAT'S
16	WHY IT'S BEHAVIOR LIKE THAT THAT IS CAUSING THE
17	NUMBERS TO STAY OR KEEP THE DIVERSION NUMBERS TO
18	STAY OR CONTINUE TO INCREASE IN THE FACE OF THE
19	ECONOMIC RECOVERY THAT CALIFORNIA IS IN. AND IF WE
20	WERE TO BETTER KNOW OR UNDERSTAND THAT, WE MAY BE
21	ABLE TO ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENT MORE EFFECTIVELY IN
22	THE PREVENTION SIDE AND DELIVER SOME TOOLS THAT
23	COULD HAVE SOME REAL VALUE IN THIS CRUNCH TIME SO

24	THAT	' THE	COULD	COMI	MUNICA	ATE	ΙT	ТО	THEIF	R COMPANIES
25	IN T	HEIR	REGION	AND	ROLL 91	OUT	A	PRO	OGRAM	IF
					シエ					

```
1
      NECESSARY.
 2
                    SO I WOULD JUST PUT THAT AS A KEY
 3
      FACTOR. I THINK IT -- WHETHER IT'S A FOURTH OR A
 4
      FIFTH OR WHETHER IT'S A -- BUT I THINK THE
 5
      PREVENTION SIDE IS A BIG ONE, AND I'D JUST LIKE TO
      STATE THAT NOW BEFORE THIS MEETING ENDS.
 6
 7
               MR. CHANDLER: YOU MAKE A GOOD POINT. I
 8
      KNOW YOU AND I HAVE HAD INDIVIDUAL CONVERSATIONS
      AROUND THIS. AND I BROUGHT SOME OF YOUR THINKING
 9
10
      INTO THE DISCUSSIONS THAT WE HAD WITH THE EXECUTIVE
      STAFF. I KNOW, CAREN, YOU WANT TO SAY A FEW WORDS
11
12
      ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S BEEN PART OF OUR DIALOGUE.
13
      SO GO AHEAD, CAREN.
14
               MS. TRGOVCICH: ONE OF THE THINGS WHEN WE
      LOOKED AT, ESPECIALLY FOR THE TWO WASTESTREAMS THAT
15
      ARE UP THERE, THE BROAD CATEGORY OF ORGANICS THAT
16
      WE ARE GOING TO FOCUS, AS WELL AS C&D, IS THAT WE
17
18
      WOULD LOOK AT WASTE PREVENTION ACTIVITIES AS BEING
      A COMPONENT OF WHAT THE TEAM EVALUATES WHEN THEY
19
      LOOK AT WHAT'S GOING TO HELP US GET THERE.
20
21
      SAME WAY WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT WHAT COMPONENTS
OF
```

RECYCLING WILL HELP US GET THERE, AS WELL AS

OTHER

23	ACTIVIT	CIES.									
24			S	O IT'S	PART	OF	THE	MI	X AS	IT	IS
25	REALLY	WITH	ALL	OTHER	WASTE	TY:	PES	IN	TERMS	OF	HOW

- 1 WE EVALUATE WHAT PROGRAMS, WHAT ACTIVITIES TO
- 2 IMPLEMENT. I THINK THAT WE ARE SEEING SOME KEY
- 3 RESULTS IN THE WASTE PREVENTION AREA. WE WOULD
- 4 HOPE TO BUILD ON THAT. I KNOW THAT -- YOU KNOW,
- 5 THIS IS A LITTLE BIT TOO FOCUSED AND SPECIFIC, BUT
- 6 JUDY'S STAFF AND MY STAFF HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT
- 7 AND ARE GOING TO BE HOSTING A WORKSHOP ON TRYING TO
- 8 BE ABLE TO QUANTIFY WASTE PREVENTION, SOURCE
- 9 REDUCTION ACTIVITIES, BOTH AT A BROAD PROGRAM
- 10 LEVEL, AS WELL AS A SITE SPECIFIC WHAT IF I DO THIS
- 11 KIND OF A BASIS.
- 12 SO WE'RE CONTINUING TO FOCUS, BUT IT
- 13 GETS ROLLED IN AS AN ELEMENT THERE. WE LOOK AT
- 14 WASTE PREVENTION APPROACHES ALONG WITH OTHER
- 15 APPROACHES AS THE TEAMS CONSIDER HOW BEST TO DEPLOY
- 16 RESOURCES.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: GOOD. I'M GLAD TO
- 18 HEAR THAT AND LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING SOME OF THE
- 19 INFORMATION.
- 20 MR. CHANDLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, LET
- 21 ME CONCLUDE WITH JUST A COUPLE OF REMARKS IF THAT
- 22 CONCLUDES YOUR COMMENTARY.
- 23 MAYBE THE WAY I WANT TO FINISH THIS

UP IS JUST TELL YOU A SHORT STORY THAT OCCURRED

JUST LAST WEEK WHEN I WAS AT THE EXECUTIVE

1 DIRECTORS MEETING AT CAL-EPA, AND THE DIRECTORS WERE REMINDED THAT THE GOVERNOR EXPECTS THE 2 3 STRATEGIC PLAN TO BE IMPLEMENTED AND IS CALLING FOR 4 IMPLEMENTATION PLANS TO COME FORWARD FROM EACH BOARD AND DEPARTMENT. ONE OF THE CHIEF DEPUTIES 5 SAID, "THAT'S GOING TO BE PRETTY EASY. WE'RE NOT 6 TOO CONCERNED ABOUT THAT." 7 I SAID, "WELL, HOW ARE YOU 8 9 APPROACHING IT?" AND THE ANSWER I GOT WAS, "WE'RE JUST GOING TO TURN IN OUR WORK PLANS FOR ALL OF OUR 10 PROGRAMS." 11 12 AND I THOUGHT TO MYSELF THAT'S THE FIRST STEP TOWARDS HAVING A STRATEGIC PLAN DOCUMENT 13 JUST SIMPLY BECOME A SHELF DOCUMENT FOR ANY 14 15 ORGANIZATION. IF YOU WANT THE STATUS QUO, IT'S RIGHT HERE, AND I CAN GET YOU IMPLEMENTATION PLANS 16 FOR ALL 101 PROGRAMS, AND WE'LL DO THAT. 17 18 WHAT WE DID TODAY -- AND I BRIEFLY SAID TO THIS INDIVIDUAL, "WE'RE ACTUALLY 19 APPROACHING IT A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY." HE SAID, 20 21 "HOW DID YOU GET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING TO WANT TO SEE A FOCUS?" I SAID, "BECAUSE OUR BOARD ASKED 22

FOR IT IN THE FIRST GOAL OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN, TO

- 24 EVALUATE EVERY ONE OF THOSE PROGRAMS AND SEE IF
- THEY SHOULD BE RETAINED, EXPANDED, OR REFOCUSED." 94

1	SO I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE YOU AS BOARD									
2	MEMBERS FOR BEING THE ONES WHO BROUGHT GOAL 1									
3	FORWARD AND PUTTING US THROUGH THIS ARDUOUS									
4	PROCESS, BUT ONE IN WHICH I THINK WE'RE FINDING A									
5	LOT OF INSIGHT TO HAVING US BEGIN TO NOT ONLY									
6	EVALUATE WHERE OUR RESOURCES ARE, WHAT THOSE									
7	PERFORMANCE MEASURES ARE, BUT STARTING TO TAKE THE									
8	FIRST STEPS TOWARDS BEGINNING TO GET A MORE FOCUSED									
9	STRATEGY HERE.									
10	YOU SEE WHAT THOSE NEXT STEPS LOOK									
11	LIKE. IN THE COMING WEEKS, WE WILL BE CONTINUING									
12	TO REFINE OUR LIST OF POTENTIAL STRATEGIES.									
13	OBVIOUSLY THERE NEEDS BE A LOT OF WORK AROUND THE									
14	CRITERIA THAT WE WILL BE DEVELOPING FOR PLACEMENT									
15	OF SOME OF THE PROGRAMS ACROSS THE MODEL. WE'VE									
16	HEARD ABOUT THE NEED TO MAKE THE MODEL MORE									
17	SPECIFIC AND WITH MORE DEFINITION. AND THEN WE									
18	WILL BE BACK TO YOU, IN MY ESTIMATE, PROBABLY AT									
19	THE NOVEMBER BOARD MEETING WITH THE NEXT ITERATION									
20	AROUND THIS WITH LOTS OF BRIEFINGS AND UPDATES									
21	ALONG THE WAY TO KEEP YOU INFORMED.									
22	AND SO I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU									
23	FOR YOUR ENGAGEMENT AND DIALOGUE TODAY AND FOR THE									

- OVERVIEW THAT YOU'VE GIVEN US OF YOUR THINKING.
- 25 AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD IN IMPLEMENT-95

ING THE STRATEGIC PLAN WITH A FOCUS. 1 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. CHESBRO. 3 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I PROMISE I WILL LET YOU GET THE LAST WORD. BUT BEFORE I DO THAT, I 4 GUESS I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT -- SINCE THIS IS 5 NOT AN ACTION ITEM, WHAT THE STATUS OF THE PRIORITY 6 AREAS LIST IS. IS IT JUST SORT OF HANGING THERE AS 7 SOMETHING WE COMMENTED ON? IS THERE AN ASSUMPTION THAT BECAUSE THERE'S NO ACTION ITEM, BUT YOU ARE GOING TO GO WITH IT NOW? ARE YOU GOING TO GO WITH 10 IT AND MODIFY IT BASED ON WHAT YOU HEARD FROM BOARD 11 12 MEMBERS? WHAT HAPPENS TO THE PRIORITY AREAS LIST, WHICH IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SPECIFICITY THAT 13 EXISTS IN THIS THING WE'VE BEEN PRESENTED TODAY, 14 15 AND SO I'M CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT THE NEXT STEPS ARE WITH REGARDS TO THAT. 16 MR. CHANDLER: WELL, I'LL SPEAK FOR MYSELF 17 18 AND OTHERS, YOU KNOW, WHO HAVE BEEN PART OF THIS PROCESS NEED TO CHIME IN. BUT I FEEL THE DIRECTION 19 WE GOT TODAY THAT WE NEED TO DO IS SIMILAR TO WHAT 20 21 MR. RELIS JUST SAID IS THAT WE NEED TO GO BACK AND DO MORE WORK IN LOOKING AT THESE VERY SPECIFIC 22 23 AREAS WITH REGARD TO THE NUMBERS, WITH REGARD TO

24	WHAT	ARE	THE	TON	NAGES	ТО	SEE	IF	WE	ARE	RIGHT	IN	OUF
25	ASSUN	MPTI	ONS	AND	RIGHT	IN	OUR	ΕA	RLI	ER A	ANALYSI	IS	
					9	96							

1 COMING OUT OF THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND THE 2 OTHER UNDERLYING PLANS THAT YOU HEARD EACH OF THE 3 DEPUTY DIRECTORS SPEAK TO AS THEY SPOKE TO SOME OF 4 THESE PRIORITY AREAS TO SEE IF THERE IS, IN FACT, 5 THE REINFORCEMENT OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE 6 BELIEVE THERE ARE. 7 SO I WOULD SAY WE WOULD LOOK AT FIRST 8 THIS LISTING AS OUR FIRST CUT TO SEE IF THAT IS REINFORCED BY THE DATA AND THE ANALYSIS AROUND IT. 9 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AT SOME POINT THE 10 BOARD WOULD BE ADOPTING PRIORITY AREAS, SO WILL IT 11 12 COME BACK TO US AFTER THE FIRST CUT? 13 MR. CHANDLER: IN NOVEMBER I'D LIKE TO COME BACK WITH NOT ONLY THE PRIORITY AREAS DEFINED 14 15 AND REFINED MORE SPECIFICALLY, BUT BEGINNING TO PUT UP WHAT WE SEE IN THE ON-DECK AREA, AS KEITH 16 DESCRIBED, WHERE WE SEE OUR MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, 17 WHERE WE SEE EARLY STAGES OF PLANNING AND ANALYSIS 18 TO OCCUR; IN OTHER WORDS, TRYING TO ROUND OUT THE 19 MAJOR THEMES OF THESE PROGRAMS INTO -- I'M NOT 20 21 GOING TO SUGGEST THAT WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ALL HUNDRED ONE PROGRAMS AND SHOW THEM ON THE MODEL, 22 23 BUT WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN TO TRY TO TAKE THE

- 24 EXISTING PROGRAMS AND SHOW YOU WHERE WE THINK THEY
- 25 ARE IN THE EVOLUTION OF HAVING THAT MODEL FULFILL 97

1 ITSELF ORGANIZATIONALLY. 2 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, YOU'VE IN THE 3 FEEDBACK HERE INDICATED THAT YOU'VE HEARD US WITH REGARDS THE FIRST TWO AND MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE 4 A CRITERIA AND DATA TO BACK UP THE SELECTION OF THE 5 PRIORITY MATERIALS. THERE'S ALSO THE DEFINITIONAL 6 7 QUESTION OF WHAT THAT MEANS. I KNOW YOU KEPT IT SIMPLE ON PURPOSE, BUT THERE NEEDS TO BE, YOU KNOW, 8 WHAT THAT MEANS FOR OTHER MATERIALS SOMEHOW AS PART 9 OF WHAT THE BOARD ACTS ON SO THAT IT'S CLEAR THE 10 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRIORITY MATERIALS AND 11 12 EVERYTHING ELSE. AND THEN I RAISED, AND I THINK WE 13 SPENT A LOT OF TIME YESTERDAY AND LOT OF TIME LAST 14 15 MONTH DISCUSSING THIS QUESTION OF THE LEA, THE ROLE OF THE LEA'S. AND I THINK THAT THE DECISION THERE 16 ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT IMPROVING LEA PERFORMANCE IS A 17 18 COMPLETE ENOUGH DESCRIPTION OF OUR PRIORITY STEP FOR IMPLEMENTING GOAL 3 REMAINS ALSO AS SOMETHING 19 20 THAT NEEDS FURTHER DISCUSSION BEFORE THE BOARD ACTS 21 ON THESE FOUR PRIORITIES. 22 MR. SMITH: WE KEPT THESE BROAD AND 23 GENERAL. THE DISCUSSION HAS ALREADY BEEN THAT WE

- NEED MUCH MORE SPECIFICITY ON THOSE PRIORITY
- 25 PROGRAMS. AND WHEN WE COME BACK, IT WILL BE MUCH $98\,$

1 BETTER TARGETED, MUCH NARROWER, NOT NARROWER, BUT 2 MUCH BETTER DEFINED AS TO WHAT IT IS WE'RE 3 PROPOSING TO YOU. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: IN THAT PARTICULAR 4 5 ONE IT'S KIND OF A CRITICAL QUESTION BECAUSE IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE BRINGING BACK TO US NOT ONLY THE 6 PRIORITY AREAS FOR ADOPTION, BUT THE NEXT STEPS 7 8 AFTER THAT, THE QUESTION I RAISED ABOUT WHICH PRIORITY WE'RE GOING TO TAKE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF 10 GOAL 3 WITH REGARDS TO PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY IS CRITICAL IN TERMS 11 12 OF THOSE OTHER DETAILS YOU ARE GOING TO BE BRINGING BACK TO US. SO I HOPE -- SINCE WE'RE NOT GOING TO 13 BE ACTING ON IT FORMALLY BETWEEN NOW AND THEN, YOU 14 15 ARE GOING TO NEED TO SPEND SOME TIME WITH THE BOARD MEMBERS TRYING TO GET MORE DEFINITION ON THAT. 16 17 MR. CHANDLER: GOOD. WE'LL DO THAT. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: WE HAVE TWO MONTHS 18 TILL WE SEE THE PRODUCT. NOW, I KEEP THINKING OF 19 TWO MONTHS AND TIME TICKING, AND THEN WE'RE AT THE 20 21 TWO-YEAR MARK. WILL WE SEE ANYTHING IN BETWEEN? WILL YOU BE BRIEFING US ABOUT, OKAY, A MONTH OUT, 22

THIS IS WHERE WE ARE AND MAKING SURE THAT WE'RE

VERY MUCH UP TO SPEED ON WHERE YOU ARE GOING

BECAUSE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CLOSURE ON THIS
99

1 DEPENDS ON THE AMOUNT OF TIME WE'VE HAD TO DIGEST 2 WHAT YOU ARE DOING. 3 MR. CHANDLER: IT'S -- LOOK, IN NO WAY DO I WANT TO IMPLY THAT I DON'T RECOGNIZE THAT YOU ALL 4 SET THE PRIORITY AREAS. YOU ALL SET WHAT IS IN THE 5 NEXT STRATEGY TO BE MOVED UP. YOU ALL SET WHAT IS 6 A MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY THAT REQUIRES FEWER 7 8 RESOURCES. SO WE HAVE TO FIND A WAY THAT SPEAKS BOTH TO TIME IS TICKING AND WE DON'T WANT TO GET BUREAUCRATIC ABOUT THIS. ON THE OTHER HAND, YOU 10 ARE A BOARD THAT ADOPTS THINGS IN A FORMAL PUBLIC 11 12 POLICY, PUBLIC OPEN FORUM, AND WE CAN'T JUST DO THIS, YOU KNOW, WALK IN THE HALLS NECESSARILY. SO 13 I THINK IT'S GOING TO TAKE A COMBINATION OF BOTH. 14 15 I THINK WE CAN USE THE COMMITTEE STRUCTURE TO CONTINUE AND THE DIRECTORS REPORTS BY 16 THE DEPUTY DIRECTORS TO KEEP YOU APPRISED OF SOME 17 18 OF THE ACTIVITY THAT'S UNDER WAY. AND, OF COURSE, WE'LL SET UP INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS WITH YOUR OFFICES. 19 20 I THINK FOR THE PUBLIC AND THE STAFF, 21 THERE WILL BE INFORMAL PRESENTATION IN NOVEMBER, AND THERE SHOULD BE NO SURPRISES. 22

BOARD MEMBER JONES: YOU KNOW, ONE THING,

24	WHEN	WE'RE	TALKING	ABOUT	BECOM	IING MORE	DEFINED AND
25	STUFF	LIKE	THAT, I	THINK 100	WE DI	D PRETTY	GOOD WORK

1 TODAY IN TRYING TO STAY FOCUSED. IF REDEFINING OR 2 BECOMING CLEARER MEANS THAT THAT LIST GOES FROM 3 FOUR TO 81, THIS BOARD MEMBER IS OPPOSED. OKAY. THIS BOARD MEMBER IS HAPPY WITH THOSE FOUR. I SEE 4 5 THE VALUE IN THOSE FOUR. AND, YOU KNOW, I MEAN WE NEED TO STAY FOCUSED. IT'S A BROAD THING. IT'S 6 7 NOT THE ONLY THING. IT'S -- I MEAN THEY'RE PRETTY 8 BROAD. 9 AND TO GET OFF THIS JUST ONE SECOND 10 BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT OR WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY OPEN DISCUSSION, REAL QUICKLY. I JUST 11 12 WANTED TO THANK EVERYBODY AT THE WASTE BOARD THAT PARTICIPATED OR THAT PLEDGED MONEY ON THE -- FOR 13 JUVENILE DIABETES BECAUSE THERE WAS ABOUT 40 OF US 14 15 OUT THERE WORKING AND WALKING AND HAVING FUN, AND WE RAISED, COMBINED WITH MY SISTERS'S COMPANY, 16 \$5500 AS PART OF ABOUT \$240,000 THAT WAS RAISED 17 THAT DAY. AND I THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE IT. I 18 THINK EVERYBODY HAD A GOOD TIME, AND WE'LL DO IT 19 20 AGAIN. 21 MR. CHANDLER: DAN, SINCE I DO SEE A NUMBER OF STAFF IN THE AUDIENCE, I DO WANT TO FIRST 22

ACKNOWLEDGE A COUPLE OF STAFF THAT HELPED

CONSIDERABLY ON THIS EFFORT. OF COURSE, RUBIA TO

MY RIGHT. DOUG RALSTON, YOU DID A GREAT JOB ON THE

101

1 KEYBOARDS. THANK YOU. TABATHA LEWIS. TABATHA 2 ALSO. 3 I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT I DON'T SEE 4 ANY ORGANIZATIONAL REORGANIZATIONAL PLAN BEHIND 5 THIS. WE HAVE THE RESOURCES IN THE ORGANIZATION. THE FOCUS MAY CHANGE, SOME OF THE ACTIVITIES MAY 6 CHANGE, BUT THIS IS NOT GOING BE A COMPLETE 7 8 RESHIFTING OF PERSONNEL AROUND THE BOARD. I THINK WE ARE LOOKING AT A MATRIX APPROACH THAT CAN DRAW 9 10 STAFF FROM THE VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONAL UNITS THAT BEST DEPLOY THE RESOURCES ON THE FOCUSED ACTIVITY. 11 12 AND I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT I DIDN'T LEAVE THAT IMPRESSION WITH ANYONE IN THE AUDIENCE. 13 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, LAST WORD, ADJOURNED. 15 16 I NEED TO ANNOUNCE THAT THE BOARD 17 WILL BE IN EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS SOME 18 LITIGATION AT 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON. 19 20 (THE MEETING WAS THEN RECESSED AT 12 21 P.M. TO CLOSED SESSION AND FOLLOWING CLOSED SESSION

22

WAS THEN ADJOURNED.)